Natural Resources for Human Health
eISSN: 2583-1194

Original Research

Received 26 May 2026
Revised 22 June 2026
Accepted 20 July 2026

Natural Resources for Human Health 2026, Vol. 6 (7s): 190–197

KEYWORDS:
Soil Microbiome, Microbial Diversity, Sustainable Agriculture, Rhizosphere, Mycorrhizal Fungi, Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria, Disease Suppression, Ecosystem Multifunctionality.

Microbial Diversity in Soil Ecosystems and Its Influence on Sustainable Crop Production

Dr.Shital Deshmukh[1], Dr. Rupali Mahakhode[2], Dr Varsha P Metkar[3], Dr. Sharad B Kaphale[4], V. Anish[5], Mr. Sunil Kumar Maurya[6]

[1]Associate Professor, Department of Zoology, S.S.E.S.Amts Science College, Nagpur Maharashtra, drshitaldeshmukh@gmail.com
[2]Associate Professor, Department of Botany, Shivaji Science College, Congress Nagar, Nagpur (M.S.) India. Nagpur, Maharashtra. rupali.mahakhode@gmail.com
[3]Assistant professor, Biology, Dr S. S. Mahila Mahavidyalay, Dhanora, Gadchiroli, Maharashtra, Gadchiroli, Dhanora, Maharashtra, varshabhongade17@gmail.com
[4]Assistant Professor, Department of Geography, Arts, Commerce & Science Collage, Narayangaon, Pune, Maharashtra
[5]Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Vel Tech Multi Tech Dr. Rangarajan Dr. Sakunthala Engineering College, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India, Orcid id: 0000-0003-0448-8528
[6]Assistant Professor, Botany, Pandit Deendayal Upadhyay Government Degree College, Palahipatti, Varanasi -221208.

ABSTRACT: A single gram of fertile agricultural soil harbours on the order of a billion to ten billion microbial cells representing several thousand distinct taxa, a density and diversity that positions the soil microbiome as a functional organ of the plant-soil system rather than a passive backdrop to crop growth. This paper reviews the empirical and mechanistic literature connecting soil microbial diversity to sustainable crop production, synthesizing foundational rhizosphere microbiome research, the plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria and mycorrhizal symbiosis literature, disease-suppressive soil research, and the more recent biodiversity-ecosystem-multifunctionality literature that has established a direct, experimentally supported link between belowground taxonomic diversity and the number of ecosystem functions a soil can simultaneously sustain. Particular attention is given to four specific, mechanistically distinct functions microbial diversity supports in agricultural systems: nutrient acquisition and cycling, particularly nitrogen fixation and phosphorus solubilization; induced systemic resistance and biocontrol against soil-borne pathogens; arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis and its effect on water and nutrient uptake; and the soil structural and carbon-cycling functions microbial communities perform independently of any direct plant-microbe signalling interaction. A single consolidated comparative table maps each documented microbial functional group onto its specific mechanism, representative taxa, and reported crop-production outcome. The paper concludes that sustainable crop production depends on managing soil microbial diversity as a distinct agronomic input in its own right, since diversity itself, rather than the abundance of any single beneficial taxon, is what the strongest experimental evidence links to multifunctional soil performance, and identifies field-scale, multi-year validation of diversity-based soil management interventions as the central future research prospect.

Natural Resources for Human Health
eISSN: 2583-1194

I. INTRODUCTION

Torsvik and Øvreås's foundational quantitative accounting of soil microbial diversity established that a single gram of soil can contain several thousand to tens of thousands of distinct microbial genomic units, a diversity considerably exceeding what culture-based microbiology had been able to detect prior to the introduction of molecular, sequence-based community analysis, and reframed soil not as a simple growth substrate but as one of the most taxonomically diverse habitats on Earth [1]. Fierer's subsequent review of soil microbiome complexity extended this quantitative foundation with a specific methodological caution: the sheer scale of soil microbial diversity means that the large majority of soil taxa remain functionally uncharacterized even as high-throughput sequencing has made their taxonomic detection routine, a gap between taxonomic cataloguing and functional understanding that directly shapes how confidently the agricultural literature reviewed in this paper can attribute specific crop-production outcomes to specific microbial community changes [7].

Van der Heijden, Bardgett, and van Straalen's influential synthesis characterized soil microorganisms as "the unseen majority" driving terrestrial plant diversity and productivity, arguing that soil microbial communities function as a distinct, quantifiable driver of aboveground plant performance operating through mechanisms, nutrient mobilization, pathogen suppression, and symbiotic resource exchange, that are mechanistically separable from soil's physical and chemical properties alone [2]. Bardgett and van der Putten's complementary review of belowground biodiversity and ecosystem functioning, published in *Nature*, formalized the specific causal pathways connecting soil biodiversity to plant productivity, arguing that the relationship is not simply additive, more microbial species producing proportionately more benefit, but is instead governed by functional complementarity among distinct microbial guilds performing non-redundant ecosystem functions simultaneously [8].

This functional-complementarity argument has been tested directly and repeatedly in the more recent ecosystem-multifunctionality literature. Wagg, Bender, Widmer, and van der Heijden's controlled experimental manipulation of soil biodiversity found that soils with experimentally reduced microbial and faunal diversity supported significantly fewer simultaneous ecosystem functions, nutrient cycling, plant productivity, and decomposition among them, than soils with intact, higher-diversity communities, providing direct causal, rather than merely correlational, evidence that biodiversity loss degrades soil multifunctionality [15]. Delgado-Baquerizo et al.'s subsequent global-scale field survey, sampling soils across a wide range of biomes and land-use types, found that microbial diversity was a significant positive predictor of multifunctionality even after statistically controlling for climate, soil chemistry, and plant productivity, extending Wagg et al.'s controlled-experiment finding to a globally representative, real-world sampling design [16].

Within the specifically agricultural literature, this diversity-function relationship has been examined through several mechanistically distinct research traditions. Lugtenberg and Kamilova's comprehensive review of plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) catalogued the specific biochemical mechanisms, biological nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilization, phytohormone production, and siderophore-mediated iron acquisition, through which particular rhizosphere bacterial taxa directly enhance crop nutrient availability and growth [3]. Smith and Read's foundational treatment of mycorrhizal symbiosis established the parallel fungal contribution to this nutrient-acquisition function, documenting that arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi extend a host plant's effective root surface area severalfold, substantially increasing phosphorus and water uptake in exchange for photosynthetically fixed carbon [4]. Mendes, Garbeva, and Raaijmakers's review of the rhizosphere microbiome's significance distinguished this beneficial, growth-promoting functional dimension from the disease-suppressive dimension reviewed in Section 2.3, arguing that a taxonomically diverse rhizosphere community provides broader, more resilient pathogen suppression than any single biocontrol agent applied in isolation, a diversity-based disease-suppression argument with direct implications for reducing agricultural reliance on chemical fungicides [5].

This paper reviews the rhizosphere ecology, PGPR, mycorrhizal, and disease-suppressive-soil literatures alongside the more recent ecosystem-multifunctionality evidence, before examining how these mechanistically distinct microbial contributions jointly inform sustainable crop production practice.

Research Objectives

- To review the foundational literature establishing soil microbial diversity's scale and its documented relationship to ecosystem multifunctionality.

- To synthesize the plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria and mycorrhizal symbiosis literature addressing nutrient acquisition mechanisms.
- To examine the disease-suppressive soil literature and its dependence on microbial community diversity rather than single-agent biocontrol.
- To evaluate agricultural management practices documented to preserve or enhance soil microbial diversity.
- To identify future research priorities for field-scale validation of diversity-based sustainable soil management.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 The Scale and Structure of Soil Microbial Diversity

Torsvik and Øvreås's molecular reassessment of soil microbial diversity used DNA reassociation kinetics to estimate that a single gram of soil could contain on the order of several thousand distinct bacterial genomic types, an estimate subsequently revised upward considerably as high-throughput sequencing technology matured, and established soil as harboring a microbial diversity exceeding that documented in most other terrestrial or aquatic habitats [1]. Fierer and Jackson's large-scale biogeographic survey of soil bacterial communities across a continental sampling transect found that soil pH, rather than the temperature, latitude, or vegetation-type variables more commonly hypothesized to structure microbial biogeography, was the single strongest predictor of bacterial community composition and diversity across the sampled sites, a finding with direct practical relevance since soil pH is a routinely measured and agronomically manageable soil property [9]. Philippot, Raaijmakers, Lemanceau, and van der Putten's review of rhizosphere microbial ecology situated this broader soil-biogeography evidence specifically within the root-associated zone, arguing that the rhizosphere, the narrow region of soil directly influenced by root exudates, supports a microbial community both quantitatively denser and taxonomically distinct from bulk soil beyond root influence, a distinction that underlies why plant-beneficial microbial functions concentrate specifically in this rhizosphere zone rather than being uniformly distributed throughout the soil profile [6].

2.2 Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria and Nutrient Acquisition

Vessey's review of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers formalized the field's central practical argument: specific rhizobacterial genera, *Azospirillum*, *Azotobacter*, *Bacillus*, and *Pseudomonas* prominent among them, can substitute for a meaningful share of synthetic nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer input through biological nitrogen fixation and phosphate solubilization respectively, while additional genera contribute growth promotion through phytohormone production, particularly indole-3-acetic acid synthesis that stimulates root development and consequently improves the plant's own capacity for nutrient and water uptake [10]. Lugtenberg and Kamilova's comprehensive mechanistic review extended this catalogue with the specific biochemical pathways underlying each function, documenting that phosphate-solubilizing bacteria convert insoluble soil phosphate into plant-available forms through organic acid secretion and enzymatic phosphatase activity, and that siderophore-producing rhizobacteria improve plant iron nutrition while simultaneously suppressing pathogen growth by competitively sequestering the same limited iron pool pathogenic microorganisms require [3]. Compant, Duffy, Nowak, Clément, and Barka's review of PGPR-based biocontrol specifically found that many of the same rhizobacterial genera contributing nutrient-acquisition benefits, *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* particularly, simultaneously suppress soil-borne fungal pathogens through antibiotic production, competitive root-surface colonization, and induced systemic resistance, indicating that individual beneficial rhizobacterial taxa frequently perform multiple, mechanistically distinct functions simultaneously rather than a single narrow role [11].

2.3 Mycorrhizal Symbiosis and Water/Nutrient Uptake

Smith and Read's foundational treatment of mycorrhizal symbiosis established that arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, forming symbiotic associations with the roots of the large majority of agricultural crop species, extend the host plant's effective absorptive surface area through an extensive extraradical hyphal network, substantially improving phosphorus uptake specifically, since phosphate's low soil mobility makes root-proximity a limiting factor that fungal hyphae, extending well beyond the root's own phosphate-depletion zone, directly address [4]. Jeffries, Gianinazzi, Perotto, Turnau, and Barea's review of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi's contribution to sustainable plant health and soil fertility extended this nutrient-uptake mechanism with evidence for additional documented benefits, including improved drought tolerance attributable to enhanced water uptake

through the fungal hyphal network, and improved soil aggregate stability attributable to the fungal glycoprotein glomalin, a compound arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi produce that binds soil particles into stable aggregates, a soil-structural function distinct from, and additive to, the direct plant-nutrition benefit [12]. Trivedi, Leach, Tringe, Sa, and Singh's more recent review of plant-microbiome interactions situated this mycorrhizal contribution within a broader framework of plant-driven microbiome assembly, arguing that plants actively shape their root-associated microbial community composition through root exudate chemistry, selectively recruiting beneficial mycorrhizal and bacterial partners in a process the authors characterize as analogous to a plant "cultivating" its own beneficial microbiome rather than passively hosting whatever community happens to be present in the surrounding soil [17].

2.4 Disease-Suppressive Soils and Diversity-Dependent Biocontrol

Mendes, Garbeva, and Raaijmakers's review of rhizosphere microbiome significance formalized the concept of disease-suppressive soils, agricultural soils in which a resident microbial community suppresses the establishment or severity of soil-borne plant pathogens even in the continued presence of a susceptible host crop and virulent pathogen inoculum, and argued that this suppressive capacity depends specifically on the taxonomic diversity and functional redundancy of the resident microbial community rather than on the abundance of any single antagonistic species [5]. Schlatter, Kinkel, Thomashow, Weller, and Paulitz's subsequent review of disease-suppressive soils drew on accumulated case studies, including the well-documented *Fusarium* wilt and take-all decline suppressive soil systems, to argue that suppressive capacity typically involves multiple, functionally overlapping antagonistic mechanisms, antibiotic production, competition for root colonization sites and nutrients, and induced systemic resistance, operating simultaneously across a diverse microbial community, such that reducing this diversity through intensive monoculture or excessive fungicide application measurably degrades suppressive capacity over successive growing seasons [13]. Kennedy and Smith's earlier, foundational analysis of soil microbial diversity and agricultural sustainability anticipated this diversity-dependent suppression argument, proposing that a taxonomically diverse soil microbial community functions as a form of biological insurance against pathogen outbreak, since even if a dominant beneficial species is lost or suppressed by an environmental disturbance, functionally redundant taxa within a diverse community can maintain the disease-suppressive function overall [14].

2.5 Ecosystem Multifunctionality: Direct Experimental Evidence

Wagg, Bender, Widmer, and van der Heijden's controlled experimental study directly manipulated soil biodiversity by diluting field-collected soil microbial and faunal communities to varying diversity levels while holding the physical and chemical soil environment constant, finding that reduced-diversity soil communities supported significantly fewer ecosystem functions simultaneously, plant productivity, nutrient cycling, and organic matter decomposition specifically, than the higher-diversity communities, establishing a causal, experimentally isolated diversity-multifunctionality relationship rather than one confounded by co-varying soil chemical or physical properties [15]. Delgado-Baquerizo et al.'s global field survey extended this experimental finding to a real-world sampling design spanning multiple biomes and land-use intensities, finding that microbial diversity remained a significant positive predictor of multifunctionality after statistically accounting for climate, soil texture, and pH, corroborating Wagg et al.'s controlled result at a considerably larger and more ecologically representative scale [16]. Bender, Wagg, and van der Heijden's review translating this multifunctionality evidence specifically into agricultural application argued that conventional, intensive agricultural management, characterized by tillage, monoculture, and heavy agrochemical input, systematically reduces soil biodiversity relative to less intensive alternatives, and proposed that deliberately managing for soil biodiversity, an approach the authors term soil ecological engineering, represents an underexploited lever for improving agricultural sustainability that operates independently of, and complementary to, conventional breeding and agrochemical-input-focused approaches to yield improvement [18].

2.6 Agricultural Management Practices and Microbiome Response

Chaparro, Sheflin, Manter, and Vivanco's review of manipulating the soil microbiome for soil health and plant fertility catalogued specific agricultural management levers documented to shift soil microbial community composition and diversity, including reduced tillage, which preserves fungal hyphal networks and soil aggregate structure that intensive tillage physically disrupts; cover cropping and crop rotation diversity, which broaden the range of root exudate chemistries entering the soil and consequently support a more taxonomically diverse rhizosphere community than continuous monoculture; and organic amendment application, which supplies the diverse carbon substrates that support a correspondingly diverse decomposer

community [19]. Singh, Bardgett, Smith, and Reay's related review of microorganisms and climate change feedbacks added a further, climate-relevant dimension to this management discussion, finding that soil microbial community composition and diversity directly influence a soil's greenhouse gas emission profile, particularly nitrous oxide emission from nitrogen-cycling microbial processes, indicating that management practices preserving microbial diversity may carry climate-mitigation co-benefits alongside the crop-production benefits this paper centers on [20].

2.7 Research Gap

While the mechanistic literature reviewed in Sections 2.2 through 2.4 has established specific, well-characterized pathways through which individual microbial functional groups, PGPR, mycorrhizal fungi, and disease-suppressive communities, benefit crop production, and the multifunctionality literature reviewed in Section 2.5 has established a robust, experimentally supported diversity-function relationship at the level of aggregate ecosystem function, comparatively few studies directly link specific, field-applicable management interventions, the tillage, rotation, and amendment practices Chaparro et al. catalogue, to measured changes in the specific mechanistic functions, nitrogen fixation rate, mycorrhizal colonization percentage, or disease-suppression magnitude, reviewed in Sections 2.2 through 2.4, within a single, multi-year field experimental design [15], [16], [19]. This review addresses this gap by organizing the reviewed evidence within a comparative framework spanning microbial functional group, mechanism, and documented crop-production outcome.

III. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a qualitative, descriptive, and comparative research design synthesizing peer-reviewed soil microbiology, plant-microbe interaction, and agroecology literature addressing soil microbial diversity and sustainable crop production. Reviewed studies were compared along four axes: microbial functional group examined (nutrient-acquisition rhizobacteria, mycorrhizal fungi, disease-suppressive community, or whole-community multifunctionality); evidence type (mechanistic/biochemical study, controlled experimental manipulation, or field survey); scale of investigation (single-species/strain, community-level, or ecosystem-level); and whether the study addresses a specific management intervention.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Microbial Functional Groups, Mechanisms, and Crop-Production Outcomes

Table 1 consolidates the microbial functional groups reviewed across Section II into a single comparative reference, mapping each onto its specific mechanism, representative taxa or community type, and the documented crop-production or soil-function outcome associated with it.

Table 1. Soil Microbial Functional Groups, Mechanisms, and Documented Crop-Production Outcomes

Functional Group	Representative Taxa/Community	Primary Mechanism	Documented Outcome	Key Source
Nitrogen-fixing rhizobacteria	*Azospirillum*, *Azotobacter*, *Rhizobium* spp.	Biological nitrogen fixation reducing synthetic N-fertilizer requirement	Substitutes for a meaningful share of nitrogen input; improved root development via phytohormone production	Vessey [10]; Lugtenberg & Kamilova [3]
Phosphate-solubilizing bacteria	*Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas* spp.	Organic acid secretion and phosphatase activity converting insoluble to plant-available phosphate	Improved phosphorus availability without proportional synthetic phosphate input	Lugtenberg & Kamilova [3]
Siderophore-producing rhizobacteria	*Pseudomonas* spp.	Competitive iron sequestration	Improved plant iron nutrition; competitive	Lugtenberg & Kamilova [3]

			pathogen suppression	
PGPR biocontrol agents	*Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas* spp.	Antibiotic production, root-surface competition, induced systemic resistance	Suppression of soil-borne fungal pathogens	Compant, Duffy, Nowak, Clément & Barka [11]
Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi	*Glomus* and related Glomeromycotina	Extended hyphal network increasing effective root absorptive surface area	Improved phosphorus and water uptake; improved drought tolerance; soil aggregate stability via glomalin	Smith & Read [4]; Jeffries et al. [12]
Disease-suppressive microbial community	Taxonomically diverse, functionally redundant rhizosphere community	Multiple overlapping antagonistic mechanisms operating simultaneously	Suppression of pathogen establishment despite continued host/pathogen presence (e.g., take-all decline)	Mendes, Garbeva & Raaijmakers [5]; Schlatter et al. [13]
Whole-community diversity (multifunctionality)	Aggregate soil microbial + faunal community	Functional complementarity/redundancy across diverse taxa	Diversity loss reduces simultaneous ecosystem functions (productivity, nutrient cycling, decomposition)	Wagg, Bender, Widmer & van der Heijden [15]; Delgado-Baquerizo et al. [16]
Management-responsive community shifts	Community composition under tillage/rotation/amendment regimes	Reduced tillage preserves hyphal networks; rotation diversifies root exudate inputs; organic amendments diversify carbon substrates	Higher diversity and function under reduced-intensity management relative to conventional monoculture/tillage	Chaparro, Sheflin, Manter & Vivanco [19]; Bender, Wagg & van der Heijden [18]

4.2 Discussion

The evidence consolidated in Table 1 supports a specific, mechanistically grounded argument rather than a general claim that "soil microbes help plants": each functional group performs a distinct, biochemically characterized role, nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilization, hyphal nutrient and water transport, or pathogen antagonism, and the strongest recent evidence, Wagg et al.'s controlled manipulation and Delgado-Baquerizo et al.'s global field survey, indicates that these distinct functions are not simply additive but exhibit genuine functional complementarity, such that a community's aggregate capacity to sustain multiple simultaneous ecosystem functions depends on taxonomic diversity itself, not merely on the presence of any single high-performing beneficial species [3], [4], [11], [15], [16]. This complementarity argument directly explains why Schlatter et al.'s and Kennedy and Smith's disease-suppression evidence emphasizes diversity and functional redundancy specifically, rather than the abundance of a single dominant antagonistic taxon, as the property that confers resilient, sustained pathogen suppression, since

a diverse community can maintain suppressive function even when a disturbance, fungicide application or a shift in crop rotation, reduces or eliminates any single contributing species [5], [13], [14].

Table 1's final row, linking specific management interventions to community-level diversity shifts, connects this mechanistic and multifunctionality evidence directly to actionable agricultural practice: Chaparro et al.'s catalogue of reduced tillage, crop rotation diversity, and organic amendment application each operates by either physically preserving microbial community structure, in the case of reduced tillage's effect on fungal hyphal networks, or by diversifying the substrate inputs, root exudates or organic carbon, that different microbial guilds depend upon, in the case of rotation and amendment practices respectively [19]. Bender, Wagg, and van der Heijden's "soil ecological engineering" framing captures the practical implication of this evidence base directly: since intensive, input-heavy conventional agriculture systematically reduces the microbial diversity Table 1 identifies as the mechanistic basis for multiple, simultaneous soil functions, deliberately managing for microbial diversity constitutes a distinct, currently underexploited sustainability lever operating alongside, rather than as a replacement for, conventional agronomic inputs [18]. Singh, Bardgett, Smith, and Reay's climate-feedback evidence adds a further dimension to this argument, indicating that the same diversity-preserving management practices carry greenhouse-gas-emission implications beyond crop production alone, strengthening the case for treating soil microbial diversity as a distinct, deliberately managed agronomic and environmental input rather than an incidental byproduct of other management decisions [20].

V. CONCLUSION

This paper has reviewed the mechanistic and ecological literature connecting soil microbial diversity to sustainable crop production, synthesizing evidence on plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria, arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis, disease-suppressive soil communities, and the broader ecosystem-multifunctionality relationship experimentally established for soil biodiversity. The evidence indicates that soil microorganisms contribute to crop production through several distinct, biochemically characterized mechanisms, biological nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilization, mycorrhizal nutrient and water transport, and pathogen antagonism, and that the capacity of a soil community to sustain multiple such functions simultaneously depends specifically on taxonomic diversity and functional complementarity rather than on the abundance of any single beneficial species. Agricultural management practices including reduced tillage, crop rotation diversity, and organic amendment application are documented to preserve or enhance this diversity relative to intensive, input-heavy conventional management, positioning deliberate microbial diversity management as a distinct and currently underexploited component of sustainable crop production strategy.

VI. FUTURE WORK

Future research should prioritize multi-year, field-scale experimental designs that directly link specific management interventions, tillage regime, rotation diversity, and amendment type, to measured changes in the specific mechanistic functions this review catalogues, nitrogen-fixation rate, mycorrhizal colonization percentage, and quantified disease-suppression magnitude, within a single unified study design, since the current evidence base largely addresses these mechanisms and management interventions through separate research traditions rather than an integrated field experiment. Further work is needed to extend Wagg et al.'s and Delgado-Baquerizo et al.'s multifunctionality methodology specifically to working agricultural systems under commercial management conditions, since both foundational multifunctionality studies were conducted using experimentally manipulated or natural-ecosystem soil samples rather than actively cultivated cropland. Continued research should also address the functional-characterization gap Fierer identifies, developing improved methods for linking the large fraction of soil taxa detectable through sequencing but not yet functionally characterized to the specific crop-production-relevant functions this review catalogues. Finally, future work should examine how the climate-mitigation co-benefits Singh, Bardgett, Smith, and Reay identify interact with crop-production benefits within a single cost-benefit framework, to establish whether diversity-preserving management practices can be justified and adopted on climate grounds even in agricultural contexts where the direct yield benefit alone might not justify a change in management practice.

REFERENCES

[1] V. Torsvik and L. Øvreås, "Microbial diversity and function in soil: from genes to ecosystems," *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 240–245, 2002.

[2] M. G. A. van der Heijden, R. D. Bardgett, and N. M. van Straalen, "The unseen majority: soil microbes as drivers of plant diversity and productivity in terrestrial ecosystems," *Ecology Letters*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 296–310, 2008.

[3] B. Lugtenberg and F. Kamilova, "Plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria," *Annual Review of Microbiology*, vol. 63, pp. 541–556, 2009.
[4] S. E. Smith and D. J. Read, *Mycorrhizal Symbiosis*, 3rd ed. San Diego, CA, USA: Academic Press, 2008.
[5] R. Mendes, P. Garbeva, and J. M. Raaijmakers, "The rhizosphere microbiome: significance of plant beneficial, plant pathogenic, and human pathogenic microorganisms," *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, vol. 37, no. 5, pp. 634–663, 2013.
[6] L. Philippot, J. M. Raaijmakers, P. Lemanceau, and W. H. van der Putten, "Going back to the roots: the microbial ecology of the rhizosphere," *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, vol. 11, no. 11, pp. 789–799, 2013.
[7] N. Fierer, "Embracing the unknown: disentangling the complexities of the soil microbiome," *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, vol. 15, no. 10, pp. 579–590, 2017.
[8] R. D. Bardgett and W. H. van der Putten, "Belowground biodiversity and ecosystem functioning," *Nature*, vol. 515, no. 7528, pp. 505–511, 2014.
[9] N. Fierer and R. B. Jackson, "The diversity and biogeography of soil bacterial communities," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 103, no. 3, pp. 626–631, 2006.
[10] J. K. Vessey, "Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers," *Plant and Soil*, vol. 255, no. 2, pp. 571–586, 2003.
[11] S. Compant, B. Duffy, J. Nowak, C. Clément, and E. A. Barka, "Use of plant growth-promoting bacteria for biocontrol of plant diseases: principles, mechanisms of action, and future prospects," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 71, no. 9, pp. 4951–4959, 2005.
[12] P. Jeffries, S. Gianinazzi, S. Perotto, K. Turnau, and J.-M. Barea, "The contribution of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in sustainable maintenance of plant health and soil fertility," *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 1–16, 2003.
[13] L. K. Schlatter, L. Kinkel, L. Thomashow, D. Weller, and T. Paulitz, "Disease suppressive soils: new insights from the soil microbiome," *Phytopathology*, vol. 107, no. 11, pp. 1284–1297, 2017.
[14] C. Kennedy and K. L. Smith, "Soil microbial diversity and the sustainability of agricultural soils," Plant and Soil, vol. 170, no. 1, pp. 75–86, 1995.
[15] Wagg, S. F. Bender, F. Widmer, and M. G. A. van der Heijden, "Soil biodiversity and soil community composition determine ecosystem multifunctionality," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, vol. 111, no. 14, pp. 5266–5270, 2014.
[16] M. Delgado-Baquerizo, F. T. Maestre, P. B. Reich, T. C. Jeffries, J. J. Gaitan, D. Encinar, M. Berdugo, C. D. Campbell, and B. K. Singh, "Microbial diversity drives multifunctionality in terrestrial ecosystems," *Nature Communications*, vol. 7, art. 10541, 2016.
[17] P. Trivedi, J. E. Leach, S. G. Tringe, T. Sa, and B. K. Singh, "Plant-microbiome interactions: from community assembly to plant health," *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, vol. 18, no. 11, pp. 607–621, 2020.
[18] S. F. Bender, C. Wagg, and M. G. A. van der Heijden, "An underground revolution: biodiversity and soil ecological engineering for agricultural sustainability," *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 440–452, 2016.
[19] J. M. Chaparro, A. M. Sheflin, D. K. Manter, and J. M. Vivanco, "Manipulating the soil microbiome to increase soil health and plant fertility," *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, vol. 48, no. 5, pp. 489–499, 2012.
[20] B. K. Singh, R. D. Bardgett, P. Smith, and D. S. Reay, "Microorganisms and climate change: terrestrial feedbacks and mitigation options," *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, vol. 8, no. 11, pp. 779–790, 2010.