Natural Resources for Human Health
eISSN: 2583-1194

Original Research

Received 11 June 2026
Revised 20 July 2026
Accepted 03 Aug 2026

Natural Resources for Human Health 2026, Vol.6(15s): 210–221

KEYWORDS:
Anesthesia, Patient Safety, Human Factors, Medication Error, Airway Management, Burnout, NonOperating Room Anesthesia, Artificial Intelligence, Vision 2030, Saudi Arabia.

Contemporary Challenges in Anesthesia Practice and their Implications for Patient Safety

Ali Yahya Ahmed Al Neami[1], Saeed Mohammed Abdullah Abuareef[2], Yasir Mohammed Ramadan Alanazi[3], Alwaleed Abdulmalik Ahmed Alzahrani[4], Khaled Musaed Ali Alahmari[5], fawaz Rutubi Rabie Alanazi[6], Abdulmohsen Jarbua Thawini Alanazi[7], Nasser Shallal Malouh Alanazi[8], Nasser Saad Mohammed Alajmi[9], Talal Faiz Naif Alshalan[10]

Workplaces/ Anesthesia Technician, King Abdulaziz Medical City Riyadh

ABSTRACT:

Background: Anesthesiology is widely regarded as a pioneer of the patient safety movement, having reduced anesthesia-attributable mortality dramatically over the past five decades through standardized monitoring, human-factors research, simulation, and a culture of incident reporting. Yet the specialty now operates in a markedly more complex environment. Older, frailer, and more comorbid patients, expansion of procedures outside the operating room, persistent medication errors, drug shortages, workforce deficits, clinician burnout, rapid technological change, and growing environmental responsibilities are reshaping perioperative risk.

Objective: This narrative review examines the principal contemporary challenges facing anesthesia practice, analyses the mechanisms through which each challenge threatens patient safety, and proposes an integrative framework to guide institutional and policy responses, with specific reference to the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and its Vision 2030 Health Sector Transformation Program.

Methods: A structured narrative review of peer-reviewed literature, national audit projects, international standards, and policy documents was conducted. Evidence was synthesized thematically and interpreted through Reason's Swiss cheese model, Donabedian's structure–process–outcome model, and the Job Demands–Resources model.

Findings: Nine interrelated challenge domains were identified: changing patient complexity; airway management and critical events; medication safety and drug supply; workforce shortage, fatigue, and burnout; communication and handover; non-operating room anesthesia; technology and artificial intelligence; perioperative neurocognitive disorders and awareness; and environmental sustainability. These domains rarely act alone; they align across organizational, team, and individual layers to create latent conditions for harm.

Conclusion: Sustaining anesthesia's safety record requires a shift from individual vigilance toward resilient system design. The proposed GUARD framework — Governance and safety culture, Upskilled and sustainable workforce, Advanced monitoring and digital intelligence, Resilient teamwork and communication, and Data-driven learning — offers a practical structure for health organizations, regulators, and educators seeking to protect patients in an era of rising complexity.

Natural Resources for Human Health
eISSN: 2583-1194

1. INTRODUCTION

Few medical specialties have contributed as much to the science of patient safety as anesthesiology. In the late 1970s, Cooper and colleagues applied critical incident techniques borrowed from aviation to anesthesia mishaps and found that the large majority of preventable incidents involved human error rather than equipment failure alone (Cooper et al., 1978). That insight helped launch a sustained programme of work on standardized monitoring, pulse oximetry and capnography, equipment redesign, crisis resource management, and high-fidelity simulation. Gaba (2000) later described anesthesiology as a model for patient safety in health care, noting that the specialty had embraced systems thinking long before it became mainstream in medicine.

The results have been striking. A systematic review and meta-analysis by Bainbridge et al. (2012) reported that anesthesia-related mortality fell from approximately 357 per million before the 1970s to around 34 per million in the 1990s and 2000s, even as patients grew older and sicker. However, the same review showed that risk remained substantially higher in low- and middleincome settings, and that overall perioperative mortality — as distinct from mortality attributable solely to anesthesia — remains considerable. The European Surgical Outcomes Study, for instance, found an in-hospital mortality of 4% after non-cardiac surgery, far exceeding expectations (Pearse et al., 2012).

These figures signal that the specialty's historic success is not self-sustaining. Contemporary anesthesia practice faces a convergence of pressures: an aging population with multiple comorbidities, a dramatic expansion of sedation and anesthesia in remote locations, persistent medication errors, intermittent shortages of essential drugs, serious workforce deficits in many countries, high rates of clinician burnout, and the arrival of algorithmic decision support whose risks are not yet fully understood. At the same time, the specialty is being asked to reduce its environmental footprint, as volatile anesthetic agents are potent greenhouse gases (McGain et al., 2020).

In the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, these global trends intersect with a national programme of health system transformation. Vision 2030 and its Health Sector Transformation Program aim to expand access, improve quality and efficiency, and shift toward value-based, accountable care organized around health clusters. Surgical volumes are rising, private-sector participation is growing, and accreditation and licensing requirements administered by the Saudi Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions (CBAHI) and the Saudi Commission for Health Specialties (SCFHS) are becoming more demanding. Anesthesia services sit at the centre of this agenda because they are a prerequisite for almost all surgical, interventional, obstetric, and critical care activity.

This paper therefore aims to: (a) identify and analyse the major contemporary challenges in anesthesia practice; (b) explain, through established safety theory, how these challenges translate into patient harm; (c) situate the analysis within the Saudi health system context; and (d) propose an integrative framework and practical recommendations for strengthening anesthesia safety.

2. METHODOLOGY

A structured narrative review design was adopted because the topic spans clinical, organizational, educational, technological, and policy domains that are not amenable to a single quantitative synthesis. Literature was identified through searches of PubMed/MEDLINE, Embase, CINAHL, and Google Scholar using combinations of terms including *anesthesia*, *anaesthesia*, *patient safety*, *adverse events*, *medication error*, *airway*, *burnout*, *fatigue*, *handover*, *non-operating room anesthesia*, *artificial intelligence*, and *sustainability*. Reference lists of key reviews were hand-searched.

Priority was given to systematic reviews, large cohort studies, randomized trials, the United Kingdom's National Audit Projects (NAP4, NAP5, and NAP6), closed-claims analyses, and international standards such as the WHO–WFSA International

Standards for a Safe Practice of Anesthesia (Gelb et al., 2018). Policy documents from the World Health Organization and Saudi national bodies were included to provide health-system context. Evidence was organized thematically into challenge domains, and each domain was analysed for its causal mechanisms and safety implications. As a narrative review, the study does not claim exhaustive coverage, and the findings are interpretive rather than statistically pooled.

3. THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

3.1 Reason's Swiss Cheese Model

Reason (2000) distinguished between *active failures* — unsafe acts committed by clinicians at the sharp end — and *latent conditions*, which are weaknesses built into a system by decisions about staffing, equipment, scheduling, procurement, and training. Each defensive layer resembles a slice of Swiss cheese with holes; harm occurs when holes in successive layers momentarily align. In anesthesia, a syringe-swap error may be the active failure, but look-alike ampoules, inadequate labelling, production pressure, and fatigue are the latent conditions that allowed it. This model is central to the present analysis because most contemporary challenges operate primarily as latent conditions.

3.2 Donabedian's Structure–Process–Outcome Model

Donabedian (1988) proposed that quality can be assessed through structure (facilities, equipment, staffing, and organization), process (what is actually done in giving and receiving care), and outcome (the effects of care on patients). The model provides a useful lens for anesthesia: workforce shortages and remote-location equipment gaps are structural deficits; checklist adherence and handover quality are processes; and mortality, awareness, and postoperative delirium are outcomes. Safety improvement requires attention to all three levels.

3.3 Job Demands–Resources Model and Human Factors

The Job Demands–Resources (JDR) model holds that strain develops when job demands — workload, time pressure, emotional burden — chronically exceed available resources such as autonomy, support, and recovery time (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). Applied to anesthesia, the model explains how intensifying throughput targets and staffing gaps erode clinician wellbeing and, in turn, performance. Complementing this, the Anaesthetists' Non-Technical Skills (ANTS) taxonomy identifies four domains — task management, team working, situation awareness, and decision making — that are essential to safe practice and can be observed, taught, and assessed (Fletcher et al., 2003).

4. CONTEMPORARY CHALLENGES IN ANESTHESIA PRACTICE

4.1 Changing Patient Demographics and Clinical Complexity

Anesthesiologists increasingly care for patients who are older, frailer, and more comorbid than in any previous era. Frailty — a state of reduced physiological reserve across multiple systems — is strongly associated with postoperative complications, prolonged hospital stay, institutional discharge, and mortality (Partridge et al., 2012). Obesity and obstructive sleep apnea are highly prevalent in the Gulf region and complicate airway management, ventilation, drug dosing, and postoperative respiratory monitoring. Validated screening tools such as STOP-Bang allow early identification of high-risk patients, but their value depends on consistent use and on downstream pathways for monitoring and escalation (Chung et al., 2016).

Cardiovascular disease, diabetes, chronic kidney disease, and polypharmacy further increase perioperative risk. Current guidelines recommend structured, risk-stratified preoperative evaluation rather than indiscriminate testing (Fleisher et al., 2014). The safety challenge is therefore not only clinical but organizational: preoperative assessment clinics, shared decision-making, prehabilitation, and coordinated multidisciplinary planning are needed, yet in many hospitals patients still meet their anesthesiologist for the first time on the day of surgery.

4.2 Airway Management and Critical Events

Airway complications remain among the most serious threats in anesthesia. The Fourth National Audit Project (NAP4) of the Royal College of Anaesthetists and Difficult Airway Society examined major airway complications across the United Kingdom and found recurring contributory factors: poor assessment and planning, failure to anticipate difficulty, inadequate rescue strategies, and — particularly in intensive care and emergency departments — failure to use or correctly interpret capnography (Cook et al., 2011). Many events occurred out of hours and outside the operating theatre, where staff and equipment were less familiar.

The Difficult Airway Society guidelines provide a structured, stepwise algorithm for unanticipated difficult intubation, emphasizing limited attempts, early recognition of failure, and timely progression to front-of-neck access when oxygenation cannot be maintained (Frerk et al., 2015). The persistent challenge lies in translating these algorithms into reliable behaviour under extreme stress. Fixation error, reluctance to declare a "can't intubate, can't oxygenate" emergency, and hierarchical barriers

that prevent junior staff from speaking up are well documented. Regular simulation, standardized difficult-airway trolleys, video laryngoscopy, and universal capnography are now regarded as foundational safeguards.

4.3 Medication Safety and Drug Supply

Anesthesia is unusual in that a single clinician frequently prescribes, prepares, administers, and documents high-alert medications within minutes, often without the independent checks that exist on wards. Early prospective work estimated a drug administration error in roughly one of every 133 anesthetics (Webster et al., 2001). More recently, Nanji et al. (2016) directly observed perioperative medication administrations and found that about one in 20 involved a medication error or adverse drug event, and that approximately half of observed operations included at least one such event; over a third of errors led to observed harm or had potential for harm.

Common error types include syringe swaps, wrong dose, omissions, labelling errors, and documentation failures. A multimodal system combining barcode verification, prefilled syringes, colour-coded labelling, and structured drawer layout reduced errors in a randomized evaluation (Merry et al., 2011). Medication safety is further threatened by drug shortages, which force substitution with less familiar agents, alternative concentrations, or compounded preparations — each a recognized source of error (De Oliveira et al., 2011). Perioperative anaphylaxis is a related concern: NAP6 reported an incidence of approximately one in 10,000 anesthetics, with antibiotics as the leading identified trigger, and highlighted gaps in recognition, treatment, and follow-up allergy referral (Harper et al., 2018).

"In anesthesia, a single clinician often prescribes, prepares, administers, and documents high-alert drugs within minutes — the very independence that enables speed also removes the checks that catch error."

4.4 Workforce Shortages, Fatigue, and Burnout

The global anesthesia workforce is insufficient to meet demand. The Lancet Commission on Global Surgery estimated that five billion people lack access to safe, affordable surgical and anesthesia care when needed (Meara et al., 2015). The World Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiologists' global workforce survey revealed profound disparities, with many countries far below the recommended minimum density of physician anesthesia providers (Kempthorne et al., 2017). Even in high-income systems, demand is growing faster than training capacity, and many countries — including Saudi Arabia — rely substantially on internationally recruited staff, with associated challenges of turnover, orientation, and practice variation.

Workforce pressure manifests at the individual level as fatigue and burnout. Howard et al. (2002) documented how sleep deprivation and circadian disruption impair vigilance, reaction time, and decision-making in anesthesia — impairments comparable to those observed with alcohol intoxication in other industries. A national survey of United States anesthesiologists found that approximately 59% were at high risk of burnout and about 14% met criteria for burnout syndrome, with inadequate support at work and home among the strongest predictors (Afonso et al., 2021). A systematic review confirmed that burnout is common across countries, though reported prevalence varies considerably with measurement methods (Sanfilippo et al., 2017). Through the lens of the JDR model, burnout is not a personal weakness but a predictable consequence of chronic imbalance between demands and resources, and it is associated with reduced attention, poorer communication, and intention to leave the profession.

4.5 Communication, Handovers, and Teamwork

Anesthesia is delivered within teams that frequently change composition during a single case. Intraoperative transfers of anesthesia care are often necessary for breaks, shift changes, and workload balancing, yet evidence suggests they carry risk. In a large population-based study, complete handover of anesthesia care during major surgery was associated with an increased risk of adverse postoperative outcomes, including death and major complications (Jones et al., 2018), a finding consistent with earlier single-centre data (Saager et al., 2014). The likely mechanisms are loss of situational awareness, incomplete transfer of information, and diffusion of responsibility.

The WHO Surgical Safety Checklist demonstrated that structured team communication can save lives: in an eight-country study, its introduction was associated with reductions in death from 1.5% to 0.8% and in inpatient complications from 11.0% to 7.0% (Haynes et al., 2009). However, checklists depend on genuine engagement rather than box-ticking. Psychological safety — the shared belief that a team is safe for interpersonal risk-taking (Edmondson, 1999) — determines whether a nurse, technician, or

trainee will voice a concern. Steep hierarchies, cultural and linguistic diversity in multinational workforces, and production pressure can all suppress speaking up.

4.6 Non-Operating Room Anesthesia and Expanding Scope

A growing proportion of anesthesia and sedation is now delivered outside the main operating suite — in endoscopy, interventional radiology, cardiac catheterization, magnetic resonance imaging, dental, and outpatient settings. Registry data indicate that these non-operating room anesthesia (NORA) cases involve increasingly elderly and high-risk patients (Chang et al., 2018). Closed-claims analysis has shown that remote-location claims more often involved monitored anesthesia care and oversedation leading to respiratory depression, and that the proportion of claims involving death was higher than in the operating room (Metzner et al., 2009).

NORA environments pose distinctive hazards: unfamiliar layouts, limited physical access to the patient, magnetic and radiation constraints, variable availability of skilled assistance, and sedation administered by non-anesthesia staff. International standards emphasize that the same monitoring standards, including capnography, should apply wherever anesthesia or moderate-to-deep sedation is delivered (Gelb et al., 2018). Opioid-induced respiratory depression is a related risk across the perioperative continuum, particularly in patients with sleep apnea, obesity, or opioid naivety (Gupta et al., 2018).

4.7 Technology, Monitoring, and Artificial Intelligence

Modern anesthesia workstations, integrated monitors, anesthesia information management systems, and closed-loop delivery devices have improved precision and documentation. At the same time, complexity introduces new failure modes: alarm fatigue, mode confusion, data overload, interoperability gaps, and cybersecurity vulnerability. Artificial intelligence (AI) adds a further layer of promise and uncertainty. Machine-learning applications in anesthesiology include depth-of-anesthesia monitoring, risk prediction, ultrasound guidance, and event forecasting (Hashimoto et al., 2020).

A notable example is the Hypotension Prediction Index, derived from arterial waveform analysis, which can forecast intraoperative hypotension minutes before it occurs (Hatib et al., 2018). In the HYPE randomized trial, an early warning system based on this algorithm reduced the depth and duration of intraoperative hypotension compared with standard care (Wijnberge et al., 2020). Such findings are encouraging, but questions remain about generalizability across populations, the effect on hard outcomes, automation bias, transparency of algorithms, data governance, and medico-legal accountability. Safety therefore depends on rigorous local validation, clinician training, and governance structures that keep humans meaningfully in control.

4.8 Perioperative Neurocognitive Disorders and Accidental Awareness

Postoperative delirium and longer-term cognitive decline are common in older patients and are associated with increased mortality, loss of independence, and healthcare costs. An international multidisciplinary working group recommended aligning nomenclature with clinical cognitive diagnostic criteria under the umbrella term *perioperative neurocognitive disorders* to improve research and clinical recognition (Evered et al., 2018). Excessive anesthetic depth combined with hypotension may contribute to adverse outcomes; Sessler et al. (2012) reported that a "triple low" state of low blood pressure, low bispectral index, and low anesthetic concentration was associated with increased mortality.

At the other extreme, accidental awareness during general anesthesia remains a feared complication. NAP5 found reported awareness in approximately one in 19,000 general anesthetics overall, but considerably more often when neuromuscular blocking drugs were used and in obstetric general anesthesia; many cases were associated with drug errors, interruptions in anesthetic delivery, and transitions such as induction and transfer (Pandit et al., 2014). Balancing these opposing risks requires individualized titration, appropriate use of processed electroencephalography, and careful attention to transitions of care.

4.9 Environmental Sustainability

Health care is a significant contributor to greenhouse gas emissions, and operating theatres are among the most resourceintensive areas of hospitals (MacNeill et al., 2017). Inhaled anesthetic agents — particularly desflurane and nitrous oxide — have high global warming potential and are released largely unchanged into the atmosphere. Strategies such as low fresh-gas flow techniques, avoiding desflurane where clinically appropriate, greater use of total intravenous and regional anesthesia, decommissioning leaking central nitrous oxide manifolds, and reducing single-use plastics can lower the specialty's footprint without compromising care (McGain et al., 2020). Although sustainability may appear distinct from patient safety,

climate change ultimately threatens population health; moreover, sustainability transitions must themselves be managed safely, with appropriate training and monitoring when practices change.

Table 1. Contemporary Challenges in Anesthesia Practice: Mechanisms and Safety Implications

Challenge Domain	Key Mechanisms	Principal Safety Implications
Patient complexity	Aging, frailty, obesity/OSA, multimorbidity, polypharmacy	Higher complication and mortality risk; need for structured preoperative pathways
Airway management	Inadequate planning, fixation error, failure to use capnography	Hypoxic brain injury and death; out-ofhours and remote events
Medication safety	Single-clinician workflow, look-alike drugs, shortages, substitution	Syringe swaps, wrong dose, anaphylaxis, awareness
Workforce and burnout	Staff deficits, long hours, circadian disruption, low support	Impaired vigilance, poorer communication, attrition
Communication and handover	Team turnover, hierarchy, multinational and multilingual teams	Information loss, reduced situational awareness, silence
Non-operating room anesthesia	Unfamiliar settings, limited help, variable monitoring	Oversedation, respiratory depression, delayed rescue
Technology and AI	Alarm fatigue, interoperability gaps, automation bias	Missed deterioration, opaque decisions, accountability gaps
Neurocognitive outcomes	Excessive or inadequate anesthetic depth, hypotension	Delirium, cognitive decline, accidental awareness
Sustainability	High-GWP volatile agents, waste, energy use	Population health effects; safe management of practice change

Note. OSA = obstructive sleep apnea; GWP = global warming potential. Synthesized from the literature reviewed.

5. IMPLICATIONS FOR PATIENT SAFETY: AN INTEGRATED ANALYSIS

Analysed in isolation, each challenge appears manageable. The more important insight is that they interact. A frail, obese patient undergoing emergency endoscopy at night in a remote suite, sedated by a fatigued clinician using a substituted drug, during a staff handover, with an unfamiliar monitor, represents the alignment of multiple latent conditions described by Reason (2000). In such scenarios, the final unsafe act is merely the visible tip of a deeper systemic vulnerability.

Three cross-cutting implications follow. First, **safety is increasingly a system property rather than an individual achievement**. The historical model of the vigilant expert anesthesiologist remains essential but is insufficient where workload, complexity, and environmental hazards exceed human capacity. Second, **the boundaries of anesthesia risk have moved**: events increasingly occur outside the operating theatre, out of hours, and in the postoperative period, where monitoring and

expertise are thinner. Third, **clinician wellbeing is a patient safety issue**: burnout and fatigue degrade precisely the nontechnical skills — situation awareness and decision-making — on which anesthesia safety depends.

Table 2. Mapping Anesthesia Challenges to Defensive Layers (Swiss Cheese Model)

Defensive Layer	Typical Latent Conditions ("Holes")	Illustrative Safeguards
Organizational and policy	Understaffing, throughput pressure, procurement of look-alike drugs, weak governance	Safe staffing standards, procurement safety review, protected rest, safety leadership
Environment and equipment	Remote suites, absent capnography, incompatible devices, alarm overload	Uniform monitoring standards, standardized airway trolleys, alarm management
Team and communication	Unstructured handover, hierarchy, language barriers	Surgical safety checklist, structured handover tools, speak-up training
Individual clinician	Fatigue, knowledge gaps, cognitive overload	Simulation, cognitive aids, fatigue risk management, wellbeing support
Patient-level defences	Unscreened OSA, frailty, unknown allergy history	Preoperative clinics, STOP-Bang, frailty assessment, allergy referral pathways

Note. Adapted conceptually from Reason (2000) and Donabedian (1988).

6. THE SAUDI ARABIAN CONTEXT

Saudi Arabia's Health Sector Transformation Program, a central pillar of Vision 2030, seeks to restructure the health system into integrated health clusters, expand private-sector participation, strengthen preventive care, and adopt value-based financing. For anesthesia, this transformation brings both opportunity and pressure. Expanding surgical capacity and reducing waiting lists increase demand for anesthesia services, while the move toward accountable care organizations places greater emphasis on measurable outcomes, efficiency, and patient experience.

Several national institutions shape the anesthesia safety environment. **CBAHI** accreditation standards address anesthesia and sedation services, medication management, infection control, and patient safety, including requirements for pre-anesthesia assessment, monitoring, and post-anesthesia recovery. **SCFHS** governs professional classification, registration, residency and fellowship training, and continuing professional development, and therefore influences the competence and supply of anesthesiologists, anesthesia technologists, and nurse anesthetists. The **Saudi Food and Drug Authority (SFDA)** regulates medications and medical devices, including pharmacovigilance and device-incident reporting, which are directly relevant to drug shortages, look-alike packaging, and equipment safety. Research institutions such as the **King Abdullah International Medical Research Center (KAIMRC)** provide capacity for locally relevant safety research.

Specific contextual challenges merit attention. The high national prevalence of obesity and diabetes increases airway, cardiovascular, and metabolic risk. A substantial internationally recruited workforce brings valuable expertise but also diversity in training backgrounds, language, and practice norms, making standardized protocols, structured communication, and robust orientation especially important. Rapid digital transformation — including electronic health records and national health information platforms — creates opportunities for perioperative data integration and registry development, but also requires attention to interoperability and alarm design. Finally, national localization (Saudization) goals and expanding residency programmes offer a strategic opportunity to build a sustainable domestic anesthesia workforce trained from the outset in human factors, simulation, and safety science.

Natural Resources for Human Health
eISSN: 2583-1194

7. THE GUARD FRAMEWORK FOR ANESTHESIA SAFETY

Drawing on the preceding analysis, this paper proposes the **GUARD Framework** as an integrative structure for organizations seeking to strengthen anesthesia safety. The framework links the identified challenges to Donabedian's structure, process, and outcome dimensions and to the defensive layers of the Swiss cheese model. Its five interdependent pillars are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. The GUARD Framework: Pillars, Focus, and Core Actions

Pillar	Focus	Core Actions	Primary Challenges Addressed
G — Governance and safety culture	Leadership, accountability, just culture	Anesthesia safety committee; justculture incident policy; safe staffing and procurement review	Workforce pressure; medication supply; NORA standards
U — Upskilled and sustainable workforce	Competence, wellbeing, retention	Simulation-based training; ANTS assessment; fatigue risk management; peer support; CPD aligned with SCFHS	Burnout; fatigue; airway crises; workforce gaps
A — Advanced monitoring and digital intelligence	Technology that augments, not replaces, clinicians	Universal capnography; processed EEG where indicated; validated AI tools with governance; alarm management	Technology risks; awareness; hypotension; NORA
R — Resilient teamwork and communication	Shared mental models, psychological safety	Engaged use of surgical safety checklist; structured handover; speak-up and crisis resource management training	Handover; hierarchy; multinational teams
D — Data-driven learning	Measurement and continuous improvement	Perioperative registries; incident trend analysis; outcome dashboards; local research with KAIMRC and universities	All domains; supports value-based care

Note. ANTS = Anaesthetists' Non-Technical Skills; CPD = continuing professional development; EEG = electroencephalography; NORA = non-operating room anesthesia.

The framework is deliberately system-oriented. **Governance** creates the conditions in which safe practice is possible by setting standards, allocating resources, and responding to incidents with learning rather than blame. The **workforce** pillar recognizes that competence and wellbeing are inseparable and that retention is itself a safety strategy. **Advanced monitoring** acknowledges technology as a powerful layer of defence while insisting on validation and human oversight. **Resilient teamwork** addresses the communication failures that recur across almost every major audit. Finally, **data-driven learning** closes the loop, enabling organizations to detect weak signals, measure the impact of interventions, and contribute to national benchmarking.

"Sustaining anesthesia's safety record will depend less on heroic individual vigilance and more on systems deliberately designed so that ordinary clinicians, on ordinary days, can reliably deliver safe care."

8. RECOMMENDATIONS

8.1 For Clinical Practice and Hospital Leadership

- Apply identical minimum monitoring standards, including continuous capnography, to all locations where general anesthesia or moderate-to-deep sedation is delivered, in line with the WHO–WFSA International Standards.
- Establish pre-anesthesia assessment clinics with routine screening for frailty, obstructive sleep apnea, and allergy history, linked to clear escalation and postoperative monitoring pathways.
- Implement a multimodal medication safety system: standardized drug trays, colour-coded labelling compliant with international standards, prefilled syringes where feasible, and barcode verification.
- Standardize difficult-airway equipment across all sites and conduct regular in-situ airway emergency drills, including frontof-neck access.
- Adopt structured intraoperative and postoperative handover tools and minimize unnecessary complete transfers of anesthesia care during major cases.

8.2 For Workforce Development and Education

- Integrate simulation-based crisis resource management and non-technical skills assessment into residency curricula and mandatory continuing professional development.
- Introduce fatigue risk management systems, including limits on consecutive working hours, protected breaks, and safe travel provisions after night duty.
- Provide confidential wellbeing and peer-support programmes, and monitor burnout using validated instruments as an organizational safety indicator.
- Strengthen structured orientation for internationally recruited staff, covering local protocols, communication norms, and electronic systems.

8.3 For Regulators and Policy-Makers

- Incorporate explicit NORA, sedation, and capnography requirements into accreditation surveys, and monitor compliance through outcome indicators rather than documentation alone.
- Develop national anesthesia workforce planning that aligns residency capacity, anesthesia technologist training, and localization goals with projected surgical demand.
- Strengthen drug-shortage early-warning systems and require safety review of packaging and concentration changes before procurement.
- Create a governance pathway for evaluating, validating, and monitoring AI-enabled perioperative devices, including postdeployment surveillance.

8.4 For Research

- Establish a national perioperative outcomes registry to generate local data on anesthesia-related morbidity, mortality, and critical incidents.
- Conduct studies on burnout, fatigue, and safety culture among Saudi anesthesia professionals across public and private sectors.
- Evaluate the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of AI decision support and sustainable anesthesia practices in local populations.

9. LIMITATIONS

This review has several limitations. As a narrative rather than systematic review, it is subject to selection and interpretation bias, and it does not provide pooled quantitative estimates. Much of the high-quality evidence originates from North America, the United Kingdom, and Europe, and its transferability to Saudi Arabia and the wider Gulf region requires local verification.

Published Saudi data on anesthesia-specific adverse events remain limited, which constrains context-specific conclusions. The GUARD framework is conceptual and has not yet been empirically tested; future research should evaluate its feasibility, validity, and impact on outcomes.

10. CONCLUSION

Anesthesiology has earned its reputation as a leader in patient safety, but its achievements were built in an environment that has since changed profoundly. Today's anesthesiologists care for more complex patients, in more varied locations, with more powerful but more complex technologies, often within stretched and fatigued teams. Persistent medication errors, airway crises, communication failures, and unequal monitoring standards outside the operating theatre show that the specialty's historic defences are under strain.

The central lesson of this review is that these challenges are interconnected latent conditions rather than isolated problems, and that they must be addressed through deliberate system design. Within Saudi Arabia, the ambitions of Vision 2030 provide a timely platform to embed anesthesia safety into accreditation, workforce planning, digital transformation, and research. By adopting an integrative approach such as the GUARD framework — strengthening governance, investing in a sustainable and skilled workforce, harnessing technology responsibly, building resilient teams, and learning continuously from data — health organizations can ensure that anesthesia remains not only a pioneer but a continuing exemplar of safe, high-quality care.

REFERENCES

[1] Afonso, A. M., Cadwell, J. B., Staffa, S. J., Zurakowski, D., & Vinson, A. E. (2021). Burnout rate and risk factors among anesthesiologists in the United States. *Anesthesiology, 134*(5), 683–696.

[2] Bainbridge, D., Martin, J., Arango, M., & Cheng, D. (2012). Perioperative and anaesthetic-related mortality in developed and developing countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet, 380*(9847), 1075–1081.

[3] Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The Job Demands–Resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology, 22*(3), 309–328.

[4] Chang, B., Kaye, A. D., Diaz, J. H., Westlake, B., Dutton, R. P., & Urman, R. D. (2018). Interventional procedures outside of the operating room: Results from the National Anesthesia Clinical Outcomes Registry. *Journal of Patient Safety, 14*(1), 9–16.

[5] Chung, F., Abdullah, H. R., & Liao, P. (2016). STOP-Bang questionnaire: A practical approach to screen for obstructive sleep apnea. *Chest, 149*(3), 631–638.

[6] Cook, T. M., Woodall, N., & Frerk, C. (2011). Major complications of airway management in the UK: Results of the Fourth National Audit Project of the Royal College of Anaesthetists and the Difficult Airway Society. Part 1: Anaesthesia. *British Journal of Anaesthesia, 106*(5), 617–631.

[7] Cooper, J. B., Newbower, R. S., Long, C. D., & McPeek, B. (1978). Preventable anesthesia mishaps: A study of human factors. *Anesthesiology, 49*(6), 399–406.

[8] De Oliveira, G. S., Jr., Theilken, L. S., & McCarthy, R. J. (2011). Shortage of perioperative drugs: Implications for anesthesia practice and patient safety. *Anesthesia & Analgesia, 113*(6), 1429–1435.

[9] Donabedian, A. (1988). The quality of care: How can it be assessed? *JAMA, 260*(12), 1743–1748.

[10] Edmondson, A. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative Science Quarterly, 44*(2), 350–383.

[11] Evered, L., Silbert, B., Knopman, D. S., Scott, D. A., DeKosky, S. T., Rasmussen, L. S., et al. (2018). Recommendations for the nomenclature of cognitive change associated with anaesthesia and surgery—2018. *British Journal of Anaesthesia, 121*(5), 1005–1012.

[12] Fleisher, L. A., Fleischmann, K. E., Auerbach, A. D., Barnason, S. A., Beckman, J. A., Bozkurt, B., et al. (2014). 2014 ACC/AHA guideline on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and management of patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *Circulation, 130*(24), e278–e333.

[13] Fletcher, G., Flin, R., McGeorge, P., Glavin, R., Maran, N., & Patey, R. (2003). Anaesthetists' Non-Technical Skills (ANTS): Evaluation of a behavioural marker system. *British Journal of Anaesthesia, 90*(5), 580–588.

[14] Frerk, C., Mitchell, V. S., McNarry, A. F., Mendonca, C., Bhagrath, R., Patel, A., et al. (2015). Difficult Airway Society 2015 guidelines for management of unanticipated difficult intubation in adults. *British Journal of Anaesthesia, 115*(6), 827–848.

[15] Gaba, D. M. (2000). Anaesthesiology as a model for patient safety in health care. *BMJ, 320*(7237), 785–788.

[16] Gelb, A. W., Morriss, W. W., Johnson, W., Merry, A. F., & International Standards for a Safe Practice of Anesthesia Workgroup. (2018). World Health Organization–World Federation of Societies of Anaesthesiologists (WHO-WFSA) international standards for a safe practice of anesthesia. *Anesthesia & Analgesia, 126*(6), 2047–2055.

[17] Gupta, K., Nagappa, M., Prasad, A., Abrahamyan, L., Wong, J., Weingarten, T. N., & Chung, F. (2018). Risk factors for opioid-induced respiratory depression in surgical patients: A systematic review and meta-analyses. *BMJ Open, 8*(12), e024086.

[18] Harper, N. J. N., Cook, T. M., Garcez, T., Farmer, L., Floss, K., Marinho, S., et al. (2018). Anaesthesia, surgery, and lifethreatening allergic reactions: Epidemiology and clinical features of perioperative anaphylaxis in the 6th National Audit Project (NAP6). *British Journal of Anaesthesia, 121*(1), 159–171.

[19] Hashimoto, D. A., Witkowski, E., Gao, L., Meireles, O., & Rosman, G. (2020). Artificial intelligence in anesthesiology: Current techniques, clinical applications, and limitations. *Anesthesiology, 132*(2), 379–394.

[20] Hatib, F., Jian, Z., Buddi, S., Lee, C., Settels, J., Sibert, K., Rinehart, J., & Cannesson, M. (2018). Machine-learning algorithm to predict hypotension based on high-fidelity arterial pressure waveform analysis. *Anesthesiology, 129*(4), 663–674.

[21] Haynes, A. B., Weiser, T. G., Berry, W. R., Lipsitz, S. R., Breizat, A. H., Dellinger, E. P., et al. (2009). A surgical safety checklist to reduce morbidity and mortality in a global population. *New England Journal of Medicine, 360*(5), 491–499.

[22] Howard, S. K., Rosekind, M. R., Katz, J. D., & Berry, A. J. (2002). Fatigue in anesthesia: Implications and strategies for patient and provider safety. *Anesthesiology, 97*(5), 1281–1294.

[23] Jones, P. M., Cherry, R. A., Allen, B. N., Jenkyn, K. M. B., Shariff, S. Z., Flier, S., Vogt, K. N., & Wijeysundera, D. N. (2018). Association between handover of anesthesia care and adverse postoperative outcomes among patients undergoing major surgery. *JAMA, 319*(2), 143–153.

[24] Kempthorne, P., Morriss, W. W., Mellin-Olsen, J., & Gore-Booth, J. (2017). The WFSA global anesthesia workforce survey. *Anesthesia & Analgesia, 125*(3), 981–990.

[25] MacNeill, A. J., Lillywhite, R., & Brown, C. J. (2017). The impact of surgery on global climate: A carbon footprinting study of operating theatres in three health systems. *The Lancet Planetary Health, 1*(9), e381–e388.

[26] McGain, F., Muret, J., Lawson, C., & Sherman, J. D. (2020). Environmental sustainability in anaesthesia and critical care. *British Journal of Anaesthesia, 125*(5), 680–692.

[27] Meara, J. G., Leather, A. J. M., Hagander, L., Alkire, B. C., Alonso, N., Ameh, E. A., et al. (2015). Global Surgery 2030: Evidence and solutions for achieving health, welfare, and economic development. *The Lancet, 386*(9993), 569–624.

[28] Merry, A. F., Webster, C. S., Hannam, J., Mitchell, S. J., Henderson, R., Reid, P., et al. (2011). Multimodal system designed to reduce errors in recording and administration of drugs in anaesthesia: Prospective randomised clinical evaluation. *BMJ, 343*, d5543.

[29] Metzner, J., Posner, K. L., & Domino, K. B. (2009). The risk and safety of anesthesia at remote locations: The US closed claims analysis. *Current Opinion in Anaesthesiology, 22*(4), 502–508.

[30] Nanji, K. C., Patel, A., Shaikh, S., Seger, D. L., & Bates, D. W. (2016). Evaluation of perioperative medication errors and adverse drug events. *Anesthesiology, 124*(1), 25–34.

[31] Pandit, J. J., Andrade, J., Bogod, D. G., Hitchman, J. M., Jonker, W. R., Lucas, N., et al. (2014). 5th National Audit Project (NAP5) on accidental awareness during general anaesthesia: Summary of main findings and risk factors. *British Journal of Anaesthesia, 113*(4), 549–559.

[32] Partridge, J. S. L., Harari, D., & Dhesi, J. K. (2012). Frailty in the older surgical patient: A review. *Age and Ageing, 41*(2), 142–147.

[33] Pearse, R. M., Moreno, R. P., Bauer, P., Pelosi, P., Metnitz, P., Spies, C., et al. (2012). Mortality after surgery in Europe: A 7 day cohort study. *The Lancet, 380*(9847), 1059–1065.

[34] Reason, J. (2000). Human error: Models and management. *BMJ, 320*(7237), 768–770.

[35] Saager, L., Hesler, B. D., You, J., Turan, A., Mascha, E. J., Sessler, D. I., & Kurz, A. (2014). Intraoperative transitions of anesthesia care and postoperative adverse outcomes. *Anesthesiology, 121*(4), 695–706.

[36] Sanfilippo, F., Noto, A., Foresta, G., Santonocito, C., Palumbo, G. J., Arcadipane, A., Maybauer, D. M., & Maybauer, M. O. (2017). Incidence and factors associated with burnout in anesthesiology: A systematic review. *BioMed Research International, 2017*, 8648925.

[37] Saudi Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions. (2019). *National hospital standards* (3rd ed.). CBAHI.

[38] Saudi Vision 2030. (2021). *Health Sector Transformation Program: Delivery plan*. Government of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

[39] Sessler, D. I., Sigl, J. C., Kelley, S. D., Chamoun, N. G., Manberg, P. J., Saager, L., Kurz, A., & Greenwald, S. (2012). Hospital stay and mortality are increased in patients having a "triple low" of low blood pressure, low bispectral index, and low minimum alveolar concentration of volatile anesthesia. *Anesthesiology, 116*(6), 1195–1203.

[40] Webster, C. S., Merry, A. F., Larsson, L., McGrath, K. A., & Weller, J. (2001). The frequency and nature of drug administration error during anaesthesia. *Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, 29*(5), 494–500.

[41] Wijnberge, M., Geerts, B. F., Hol, L., Lemmers, N., Mulder, M. P., Berge, P., et al. (2020). Effect of a machine learning–derived early warning system for intraoperative hypotension vs standard care on depth and duration of intraoperative hypotension during elective noncardiac surgery: The HYPE randomized clinical trial. *JAMA, 323*(11), 1052–1060.

[42] World Health Organization. (2021). *Global patient safety action plan 2021–2030: Towards eliminating avoidable harm in health care*. WHO.