

Original Research

Received 29 May 2026

Revised 18 July 2026

Accepted 08 August 2026

Natural Resources for Human
Health 2026, Vol. 6 (14s): 1461–1473

KEYWORDS:

healthcare management; quality of care; efficiency; leadership; Lean; performance management; value-based healthcare; digital health; Saudi Arabia; Vision 2030

The Impact of Healthcare Management Strategies on the Quality and Efficiency of Healthcare Services

A Critical Review and the PRISM Framework for High-Value Healthcare Organizations in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

¹Ahmad Nawar almoqati,²Salman Dafallh Aljuaid,³Saud awaji almalki,⁴Fahad Omran Khalaf Al-Otaibi,⁵Faisal Ali ,⁶Alasmari,⁷Salman Abed Alshareef,⁷Falah Mohammad Alrehili

Workplaces/ Health Administration Ministry of National Guard Health Affairs King Abdelaziz medical city

ABSTRACT:

Background: Health systems worldwide face rising demand, escalating costs, workforce shortages, and growing public expectations for safe, timely, and person-centered care. Clinical knowledge alone cannot close these gaps; the way healthcare organizations are led, structured, resourced, and measured is increasingly recognized as a decisive determinant of performance.

Objective: This paper examines how core healthcare management strategies, including strategic and performance management, leadership and culture, human resource management, quality improvement methodologies, financial and resource management, digital transformation, and patient safety governance, influence the quality and efficiency of healthcare services, with specific attention to the Saudi Arabian context.

Methods: A structured narrative review of peer-reviewed literature, international reports, and policy documents was conducted and interpreted through Donabedian's structure–process–outcome model, the Institute of Medicine quality domains, the Quadruple Aim, and value-based healthcare theory.

Findings: Evidence consistently links structured management practices to better clinical outcomes, lower mortality, higher patient satisfaction, and improved resource utilization. Lean and continuous improvement approaches reduce waste and waiting times; effective leadership and positive organizational culture are associated with safer care; adequate staffing and workforce wellbeing improve both quality and productivity; and health information systems enable measurement-driven management. Quality and efficiency are frequently complementary rather than competing goals when waste reduction, not rationing, is the focus.

Conclusion: Management is a clinical intervention in its own right. The proposed PRISM framework integrates people, resources, integration, standards, and measurement into a coherent model to help Saudi healthcare organizations deliver higher value in line with Vision 2030.

1. INTRODUCTION

Healthcare is among the most complex and resource-intensive sectors of any modern economy. Hospitals and health systems must coordinate thousands of professionals, sophisticated technologies, constrained budgets, regulatory requirements, and highly variable patient needs, often around the clock and under conditions of uncertainty. In this environment, excellent clinicians working within poorly designed systems will still produce delays, errors, duplication, and waste. The landmark Institute of Medicine reports *To Err Is Human* and *Crossing the Quality Chasm* made this point forcefully: most failures in healthcare stem not from individual incompetence but from the systems and processes within which care is delivered (Kohn et al., 2000; Institute of Medicine [IOM], 2001). This realization has elevated healthcare management from an administrative support function to a strategic determinant of health outcomes. Management decisions shape how many nurses staff a ward, how quickly a patient moves from the emergency department to a bed, whether an electronic record alerts a physician to a dangerous drug interaction, how incidents are reported and learned from, and whether staff feel engaged or burned out. Empirical research across several countries has shown that hospitals with stronger management practices tend to achieve lower mortality and better financial performance (Bloom et al., 2015). At the same time, health systems face an efficiency imperative. Global health expenditure has grown faster than economic output in many countries, driven by ageing populations, chronic disease, new technologies, and rising expectations. The World Health Organization has estimated that a substantial share of health spending is wasted through inefficiency, including inappropriate use of medicines, unnecessary procedures, avoidable hospital admissions, and administrative duplication. Improving efficiency is therefore not simply about cutting costs; it is about releasing resources to provide more and better care.

For the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, these issues are central to national policy. Under Vision 2030, the Health Sector Transformation Program seeks to restructure the health system into a comprehensive, effective, and integrated model that emphasizes prevention, access, quality, and value. The establishment of regional health clusters, the separation of service provision from regulation and financing, the growth of digital health, and the expansion of private-sector participation all place new demands on managerial capability (Rahman & Qattan, 2021).

Accordingly, this paper aims to (1) define quality and efficiency within a coherent conceptual framework; (2) review the major healthcare management strategies and the evidence regarding their impact on quality and efficiency; (3) examine the relationship between quality and efficiency; (4) situate the analysis within the Saudi healthcare transformation; and (5) propose the PRISM framework as an integrated model for management practice.

2. CONCEPTUAL AND THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

2.1 Defining Quality in Healthcare

The IOM (2001) defined quality as the degree to which health services for individuals and populations increase the likelihood of desired health outcomes and are consistent with current professional knowledge. It identified six domains of quality: care should be safe, effective, patient-centered, timely, efficient, and equitable. The World Health Organization, OECD, and World Bank (2018) similarly framed quality health services as effective, safe, and people-centered, as well as timely, equitable, integrated, and efficient. Notably, efficiency is itself listed as a dimension of quality, signaling that the two concepts are intertwined rather than opposed.

2.2 Defining Efficiency

Efficiency refers to the relationship between the resources used and the outputs or outcomes achieved. Technical efficiency concerns producing the maximum output from a given set of inputs, for example the number of surgeries completed per operating room hour. Allocative efficiency concerns directing resources to the mix of services that produces the greatest health gain, for instance investing in primary care and prevention rather than avoidable hospital admissions. A further distinction is sometimes made between productive efficiency, which relates to cost per unit of service, and dynamic efficiency, which reflects an organization's capacity to innovate and improve over time.

2.3 Donabedian's Structure–Process–Outcome Model

Donabedian (1988) proposed that quality can be assessed through structure, process, and outcome. Structure encompasses the organizational setting, including staff, facilities, equipment, information systems, and financial resources. Process refers to the activities of care, such as diagnosis, treatment, coordination, and communication. Outcome captures the effects on patients and

populations, including mortality, complications, functional status, and satisfaction. Management strategies operate primarily on structure and process: they allocate resources, design workflows, set standards, and shape culture. The model thus offers a causal logic linking managerial action to patient outcomes, while reminding managers that good structures are necessary but not sufficient without reliable processes.

2.4 The Triple and Quadruple Aim

Berwick et al. (2008) proposed the Triple Aim as a framework for health system performance: improving the individual experience of care, improving the health of populations, and reducing the per capita cost of care. Bodenheimer and Sinsky (2014) extended this into the Quadruple Aim by adding improving the work life of healthcare providers, arguing that burnout undermines the other three aims. The Quadruple Aim highlights that quality and efficiency cannot be sustained through pressure on the workforce alone; staff wellbeing is an essential enabler.

2.5 Value-Based Healthcare

Porter (2010) defined value as health outcomes achieved per unit of cost, measured over the full cycle of care for a medical condition. Value-based healthcare reframes the management challenge: rather than maximizing volume or minimizing cost in isolation, organizations should organize care around patient conditions, measure outcomes and costs for each patient, and align payment with value (Porter & Lee, 2013). This perspective integrates quality and efficiency into a single ratio, making it particularly useful for strategic decision-making.

2.6 Systems Thinking and Complexity

Healthcare organizations are complex adaptive systems in which outcomes emerge from interactions among many semi-autonomous professionals, departments, patients, and external stakeholders. Linear, top-down management approaches frequently produce unintended consequences in such systems: a target that shortens emergency department waiting times may simply shift delays to inpatient wards, and a cost-saving measure in one department may raise costs elsewhere.

Systems thinking encourages managers to view the organization as an interconnected whole, to understand feedback loops and bottlenecks, and to design interventions that improve the performance of the entire patient journey rather than isolated units. This perspective also recognizes that frontline staff possess critical knowledge of how work is actually done, which is why participatory improvement approaches often outperform imposed solutions.

“Quality and efficiency are two sides of the same coin: every unit of waste removed from a care process is a resource returned to patient care.”

3. CORE HEALTHCARE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

Healthcare management strategies can be grouped into several interrelated domains. Although they are described separately below, their effects are cumulative and interdependent; high-performing organizations typically combine them into a coherent management system rather than adopting isolated tools.

3.1 Strategic Planning and Performance Management

Strategic planning establishes an organization’s mission, vision, priorities, and objectives, and translates them into operational plans. Performance management then monitors progress through indicators, targets, and review cycles. The Balanced Scorecard, introduced by Kaplan and Norton (1992), has been widely adapted in healthcare to balance financial measures with patient, internal process, and learning-and-growth perspectives. Well-designed performance management systems create transparency, align departments toward shared goals, and enable early identification of underperformance. However, poorly designed targets may encourage gaming, tunnel vision, or neglect of unmeasured aspects of care, so indicator selection must be balanced and clinically meaningful.

3.2 Leadership and Organizational Culture

Leadership is consistently identified as one of the most influential determinants of organizational performance in healthcare. Transformational and collaborative leadership styles, in which leaders articulate a compelling vision, empower staff, and model desired behaviors, are associated with higher staff engagement, stronger safety culture, and better patient outcomes.

A systematic review by Braithwaite et al. (2017) found that positive organizational and workplace cultures were associated with better patient outcomes, including lower mortality and higher patient satisfaction, across the majority of included studies.

Change management models such as Kotter's (1996) eight-step process provide practical guidance for leading transformation, emphasizing urgency, guiding coalitions, communication, and anchoring change in culture.

3.3 Human Resource Management

Healthcare is labor-intensive, and workforce costs typically represent the largest share of hospital expenditure. Human resource management strategies include workforce planning, recruitment and retention, competency-based training, performance appraisal, appropriate skill mix, and staff wellbeing programs. Aiken et al. (2002) demonstrated that each additional patient per nurse was associated with a significant increase in the odds of patient mortality within 30 days of admission, as well as higher nurse burnout and job dissatisfaction. Evidence from the United Kingdom has likewise linked staff engagement and effective people management to lower patient mortality and better service quality (West et al., 2011). Shanafelt and Noseworthy (2017) showed that organizational leadership behaviors directly affect physician burnout, which in turn influences quality, safety, and turnover costs. Through the lens of the Job Demands–Resources model, management can improve both wellbeing and performance by reducing excessive demands and increasing resources such as autonomy, support, and recognition.

3.4 Quality Management and Process Improvement

Quality management has evolved from inspection and quality assurance toward continuous quality improvement (CQI) and Total Quality Management (TQM), rooted in the work of Deming (1986). The Model for Improvement and its Plan–Do–Study–Act (PDSA) cycles offer a practical approach to testing changes on a small scale before wider implementation (Langley et al., 2009). Lean management, derived from the Toyota Production System, focuses on identifying value from the patient's perspective, mapping value streams, eliminating waste, creating flow, and pursuing perfection (Womack & Jones, 1996). A comprehensive review by D'Andreamatteo et al. (2015) found that Lean applications in healthcare frequently report improvements in waiting times, length of stay, and process efficiency, although the evidence varies in methodological rigor and sustainability. Six Sigma complements Lean by using statistical methods to reduce variation and defects, and Lean Six Sigma combines both approaches.

3.5 Financial and Resource Management

Financial management strategies include budgeting, cost accounting, revenue cycle management, procurement, supply chain optimization, and capital investment planning. Activity-based and time-driven activity-based costing allow organizations to understand the true cost of care pathways, identify waste, and support value-based decisions. Payment models also shape behavior: fee-for-service payment may encourage volume, whereas bundled payments, diagnosis-related groups, and capitation create incentives for efficiency and coordination, though they require safeguards against under-provision. Effective resource management ensures that equipment, beds, operating theaters, and medicines are available when needed without excessive idle capacity or stockpiling.

3.6 Health Information Management and Digital Transformation

Health information systems, including electronic health records, clinical decision support, computerized provider order entry, telehealth, and analytics platforms, are foundational to modern management. A review by Buntin et al. (2011) found that most recent studies reported predominantly positive effects of health information technology on quality, efficiency, and provider satisfaction. Digital tools reduce medication errors, eliminate duplicate testing, support care coordination, and enable real-time performance dashboards. Artificial intelligence offers further potential for diagnostic support, predictive analytics, and workflow automation (Topol, 2019). Yet digital transformation also introduces risks, including alert fatigue, documentation burden, cybersecurity threats, and interoperability challenges, which require careful governance and user-centered design.

3.7 Patient Safety and Risk Management

Patient safety management seeks to prevent avoidable harm through systems design, incident reporting, root cause analysis, and a just culture that balances accountability with learning. Reason's Swiss cheese model conceptualizes harm as the alignment of weaknesses across multiple layers of defense, directing managerial attention to latent system conditions rather than individual blame.

Two decades after *To Err Is Human*, Bates and Singh (2018) noted meaningful progress in areas such as healthcare-associated infections and medication safety, but emphasized persistent challenges in diagnostic error, ambulatory safety, and the safe use

of health information technology. Adverse events are not only harmful to patients but also costly, prolonging hospital stays and generating additional treatment.

3.8 Patient-Centered Care and Engagement

Patient-centered care respects individual preferences, needs, and values, and involves patients in decisions about their care. Management strategies supporting patient-centered care include patient experience measurement, shared decision-making tools, patient advisory councils, transparent communication, and service design informed by patient journeys. Engaged patients tend to adhere better to treatment, experience fewer complications, and use services more appropriately, contributing to both quality and efficiency.

3.9 Clinical Governance and Accreditation

Clinical governance is the framework through which healthcare organizations are accountable for continuously improving the quality of their services and safeguarding high standards of care. Its components typically include clinical audit, clinical effectiveness, risk management, education and training, patient and public involvement, use of information, and staff management. Accreditation complements clinical governance by providing external assessment against defined standards. Accreditation processes encourage organizations to formalize policies, strengthen leadership accountability, standardize processes, and build a culture of measurement. Although the evidence linking accreditation directly to patient outcomes is mixed, accreditation is consistently associated with improved organizational processes, safety culture, and compliance with evidence-based practices. In Saudi Arabia, CBAHI accreditation serves as a central lever for embedding governance structures across public and private facilities.

3.10 Competencies of Effective Healthcare Managers

The effectiveness of any management strategy ultimately depends on the competence of the people who implement it. The Healthcare Leadership Alliance model identifies five competency domains shared by healthcare managers across professional backgrounds (Stefl, 2008):

- ◆ **Communication and relationship management:** The ability to communicate clearly, build trust, manage conflict, and collaborate with clinicians, patients, and external partners.
- ◆ **Leadership:** The capacity to inspire a shared vision, lead change, and create an organizational culture that supports excellence.
- ◆ **Professionalism:** Adherence to ethical standards, accountability, and commitment to lifelong learning and service to the community.
- ◆ **Knowledge of the healthcare environment:** Understanding of the health system, clinical care processes, policy, regulation, and the needs of patients and populations.
- ◆ **Business skills and knowledge:** Competence in financial management, human resources, strategic planning, information management, quality improvement, and risk management.

These competencies highlight that healthcare management is a distinct professional discipline. Organizations that invest in structured management education, mentoring, and succession planning build the internal capability needed to sustain quality and efficiency improvements over time. Dual-role clinical leaders, such as physicians and nurses in management positions, are particularly valuable because they bridge clinical and administrative perspectives and enhance the credibility of change initiatives among frontline staff.

Table 1. Core Healthcare Management Strategies and Their Effects on Quality and Efficiency

Strategy	Key Tools and Practices	Impact on Quality	Impact on Efficiency
Strategic and performance management	Strategic plans, Balanced Scorecard, KPIs, dashboards	Aligns priorities with patient outcomes; enables early detection of gaps	Focuses resources on priorities; reduces duplication
Leadership and culture	Transformational leadership, change management, safety culture	Higher engagement, safer care, better patient experience	Faster adoption of improvements; lower turnover
Human resource management	Workforce planning, skill mix, training, wellbeing programs	Adequate staffing lowers mortality and errors	Improves productivity; reduces recruitment and absence costs
Quality and process improvement	CQI, PDSA, Lean, Six Sigma, clinical pathways	Reduces variation and defects; standardizes best practice	Eliminates waste; shortens waiting times and length of stay
Financial and resource management	Costing, value-based payment, supply chain optimization	Sustains investment in quality priorities	Lowers unit costs; improves asset utilization
Digital transformation	EHR, CPOE, CDS, telehealth, analytics, AI	Fewer medication errors; better coordination	Less duplicate testing; automated workflows
Patient safety and risk	Incident reporting, RCA, just culture, checklists	Prevents avoidable harm	Avoids costs of adverse events and litigation
Patient-centered care	Experience surveys, shared decision-making	Improves satisfaction, adherence, and outcomes	More appropriate service use

Note. KPIs = *key performance indicators*; CQI = *continuous quality improvement*; PDSA = *Plan–Do–Study–Act*; EHR = *electronic health record*; CPOE = *computerized provider order entry*; CDS = *clinical decision support*; RCA = *root cause analysis*.

4. IMPACT OF MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES ON HEALTHCARE QUALITY

The influence of management on quality can be examined across the IOM domains. Evidence varies in strength across domains and strategies, but several consistent patterns emerge from the literature.

4.1 Effectiveness and Clinical Outcomes

Management practices influence whether patients receive evidence-based care reliably. Clinical pathways, care bundles, and protocols reduce unwarranted variation, while audit and feedback help clinicians compare their performance with standards. Bloom et al. (2015) found that better-managed hospitals in England had lower mortality from acute myocardial infarction, highlighting that monitoring, target-setting, and people management practices are associated with clinical outcomes. Kruk et al. (2018) further argued that poor-quality care is now a larger barrier to reducing mortality in low- and middle-income countries than insufficient access, underscoring the importance of management capability in health system performance.

4.2 Safety

Safety outcomes are highly sensitive to management choices, including staffing levels, safety culture, standardized communication, and technology. Surgical safety checklists, infection prevention bundles, medication reconciliation, and barcode medication administration are examples of management-driven interventions with demonstrated effects on harm reduction.

Leadership commitment is critical: safety initiatives that lack visible executive support and adequate resources frequently fail to be sustained.

4.3 Patient-Centeredness and Experience

Patient experience reflects not only clinical interactions but also waiting times, communication, environment, and continuity. Organizations that systematically measure patient experience, share results with staff, and act on feedback show improvements in satisfaction and trust. Staff engagement is closely linked to patient experience, with evidence that departments with higher staff satisfaction tend to report better patient experience scores (West et al., 2011).

4.4 Timeliness and Access

Delays in emergency departments, outpatient appointments, diagnostic imaging, and elective surgery are among the most visible quality failures. Patient flow management, capacity planning, demand forecasting, discharge planning, and Lean process redesign can substantially reduce waiting times. Bed management and command centers, which use real-time data to coordinate admissions and discharges, have become increasingly common tools for improving timeliness.

4.5 Equity

Management strategies can either reduce or widen disparities. Allocating resources based on population needs, collecting disaggregated data, designing services for diverse populations, and extending access through telehealth can improve equity. Conversely, performance targets focused narrowly on volume or cost may disadvantage patients with complex needs.

Table 2. Quality Dimensions, Relevant Management Strategies, and Example Indicators

Quality Dimension	Key Management Strategies	Example Indicators
Safe	Safety culture, incident learning, checklists, adequate staffing	Adverse event rate; hospital-acquired infection rate; medication error rate
Effective	Clinical pathways, audit and feedback, evidence-based protocols	Adherence to care bundles; risk-adjusted mortality; readmission rate
Patient-centered	Experience measurement, shared decision-making, patient councils	Patient satisfaction and experience scores; complaint rate
Timely	Patient flow management, capacity planning, Lean redesign	ED length of stay; waiting time for appointments and surgery
Efficient	Waste reduction, costing, resource optimization	Cost per case; bed occupancy; operating theater utilization
Equitable	Needs-based resource allocation, telehealth, disaggregated data	Access and outcome gaps across regions and population groups

Note. Quality dimensions adapted from the Institute of Medicine (2001). ED = emergency department.

5. IMPACT OF MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES ON HEALTHCARE EFFICIENCY

5.1 Reducing Waste

Lean thinking classifies waste into categories such as waiting, overprocessing, unnecessary transport, excess inventory, defects, and unused staff talent. In healthcare, waste appears as patients waiting for tests, repeated documentation, unnecessary investigations, avoidable readmissions, and expired supplies. Systematic identification and elimination of waste allows organizations to increase capacity without additional resources. Reported Lean interventions in emergency departments, laboratories, operating theaters, and pharmacies have commonly produced reductions in turnaround times and improvements in throughput (D'Andreanmatteo et al., 2015).

5.2 Optimizing Length of Stay and Patient Flow

Length of stay is a key driver of hospital cost and capacity. Early discharge planning, multidisciplinary rounds, standardized clinical pathways, weekend service availability, and coordination with post-acute and home care services reduce unnecessary bed days. Reducing length of stay safely, without increasing readmissions, frees beds for patients waiting in emergency departments and reduces elective surgery cancellations.

5.3 Workforce Productivity

Workforce productivity improves when staff work at the top of their scope of practice, when administrative burden is reduced, and when teams are well coordinated. Task shifting, advanced practice roles, digital documentation tools, and scheduling aligned with demand patterns all contribute. However, productivity gains achieved through excessive workload are counterproductive, as they increase burnout, errors, absenteeism, and turnover, which ultimately raise costs (Shanafelt & Noseworthy, 2017).

5.4 Cost Management and Value

Understanding the cost of care at the level of patient pathways allows managers to identify inefficiencies, negotiate supplier contracts, standardize high-cost supplies, and redesign care models. Value-based purchasing and outcome-linked contracts encourage providers to reduce complications and readmissions, which are both costly and harmful (Porter & Lee, 2013).

Table 3. Key Efficiency Indicators for Healthcare Organizations

Efficiency Area	Indicator	Management Levers
Bed management	Average length of stay; bed occupancy; bed turnover	Discharge planning; clinical pathways; command centers
Operating theaters	Utilization rate; first-case on-time start; cancellation rate	Scheduling optimization; preoperative assessment clinics
Emergency services	Door-to-provider time; left-without-being-seen rate	Triage redesign; fast-track pathways; flow coordination
Diagnostics	Laboratory and radiology turnaround time	Lean redesign; automation; demand management
Workforce	Staff productivity; overtime; turnover and absenteeism	Workforce planning; skill mix; wellbeing programs
Financial	Cost per case; revenue cycle days; supply cost per patient	Costing systems; procurement; claims management

6. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY

A persistent assumption in health policy is that quality and efficiency are in tension: that better care requires more resources and that cost reduction inevitably compromises quality. The evidence suggests a more nuanced relationship. When efficiency efforts focus on eliminating waste, duplication, and harm, they tend to improve quality simultaneously. Preventing a hospital-acquired infection, for instance, improves patient outcomes and avoids the cost of prolonged treatment. Reducing unnecessary tests protects patients from false positives and incidental findings while saving money. Standardizing care pathways reduces variation, complications, and length of stay together.

Trade-offs do occur, however, when efficiency is pursued through rationing, understaffing, or premature discharge. Aiken et al. (2002) illustrate this risk: reductions in nurse staffing may lower short-term labor costs but increase mortality and adverse events, which carry substantial human and financial costs. The key managerial insight is to distinguish between cost reduction through waste elimination, which generally enhances quality, and cost reduction through resource withdrawal, which often

harms it. Value-based healthcare provides a useful lens, since it evaluates both numerator (outcomes) and denominator (costs) together (Porter, 2010).

“The goal of healthcare management is not to do more with less, but to do better with what we have by removing everything that does not add value to the patient.”

7. MEASURING THE IMPACT OF MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

Demonstrating that management strategies improve quality and efficiency requires robust measurement. Without reliable data, organizations cannot know whether changes represent real improvement, random variation, or deterioration in unmeasured areas. Effective measurement systems combine several complementary approaches.

- ◆ **Key performance indicators:** A balanced set of structure, process, and outcome indicators, selected for clinical relevance, reliability, and actionability, allows managers to track performance across quality and efficiency dimensions simultaneously.
- ◆ **Benchmarking:** Comparing performance against peer institutions, national averages, or international standards helps identify gaps and learn from high performers. Risk adjustment is essential to ensure fair comparisons between organizations serving different populations.
- ◆ **Statistical process control:** Statistical process control charts distinguish meaningful signals of change from natural variation, enabling managers to respond appropriately rather than reacting to random fluctuations.
- ◆ **Patient-reported measures:** Patient-reported outcome and experience measures capture what matters most to patients, including symptoms, functional status, and the quality of communication and coordination.
- ◆ **Economic evaluation:** Cost-effectiveness, cost-benefit, and return-on-investment analyses help leaders judge whether management interventions deliver sufficient value to justify their costs.
- ◆ **Workforce measures:** Staff engagement, burnout, and safety culture surveys provide early warning of risks to both quality and productivity, in line with the Quadruple Aim.

Measurement also presents challenges. Attributing outcomes to specific management strategies is difficult because many factors change simultaneously. Excessive measurement can create documentation burden and indicator fatigue, while publicly reported metrics may encourage gaming. Organizations should therefore prioritize a focused set of meaningful indicators, invest in automated data capture from electronic systems, and use data primarily for learning and improvement rather than blame. Regular performance review meetings in which clinical and administrative leaders jointly interpret data and agree on actions help translate measurement into management.

8. THE SAUDI ARABIAN HEALTHCARE CONTEXT

Saudi Arabia's health system has historically been dominated by government provision through the Ministry of Health (MOH), alongside other government sectors and a growing private sector. Vision 2030 and its Health Sector Transformation Program have initiated a fundamental restructuring aimed at improving access, quality, efficiency, and sustainability. Key reforms include the creation of regional health clusters that integrate hospitals and primary care under unified management, the establishment of the Health Holding Company to oversee provision, a new Model of Care emphasizing prevention and population health, and expanded opportunities for public-private partnerships (Rahman & Qattan, 2021). A range of national bodies support management for quality and efficiency. The Saudi Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions (CBAHI) sets national accreditation standards covering leadership, quality management, patient safety, and infection control. The Saudi Commission for Health Specialties (SCFHS) regulates professional registration, classification, and continuing professional development, while the Mumaris+ platform supports licensing and workforce data. The Saudi Food and Drug Authority (SFDA) regulates medicines and medical devices. Digital transformation is advancing rapidly through platforms such as the Seha Virtual Hospital, the Sehhaty application, national electronic health records, and the Nphies platform, which standardizes insurance claims and clinical data exchange and supports transparent, efficient financing.

Despite substantial progress, challenges remain. These include variation in management capability across regions and facilities, reliance on an internationally recruited workforce with implications for retention and continuity, the need to develop Saudi

healthcare leaders and managers, rising prevalence of chronic diseases such as diabetes and obesity, and the transition from input-based budgeting toward value-based financing (Al-Hanawi et al., 2019). Building managerial capacity, including training in quality improvement, health economics, data analytics, and change leadership, is therefore essential to realizing the goals of the transformation.

9. THE PRISM FRAMEWORK FOR HIGH-VALUE HEALTHCARE MANAGEMENT

Synthesizing the evidence reviewed above, this paper proposes the PRISM framework, an original integrated model to guide healthcare organizations in using management strategies to improve both quality and efficiency. Just as a prism separates light into distinct but connected colors, the framework separates management into five distinct but interdependent pillars, each of which must be addressed for an organization to achieve high value. The framework draws on Donabedian's model, the Quadruple Aim, and value-based healthcare principles.

Table 4. The PRISM Framework: Pillars, Core Actions, and Indicators

Pillar	Focus	Core Management Actions	Example Indicators
P – People-Centered Leadership	Leaders and workforce as the engine of quality	Develop clinical and administrative leaders; build a just and safety culture; invest in staff wellbeing and engagement	Staff engagement scores; turnover rate; safety culture survey results
R – Resource Optimization	Efficient use of financial, physical, and human resources	Pathway costing; demand-based staffing; supply chain and asset management	Cost per case; bed and theater utilization; overtime hours
I – Integration and Process Redesign	Seamless, coordinated care across settings	Lean redesign; clinical pathways; integration of hospital and primary care within clusters	Length of stay; readmission rate; waiting times
S – Standards and Safety	Reliable, evidence-based, and safe care	CBAHI-aligned standards; incident learning; checklists and bundles; accreditation readiness	Adverse event rate; bundle compliance; accreditation outcomes
M – Measurement and Digital Intelligence	Data-driven decisions and continuous learning	Integrated dashboards; analytics and AI; patient-reported outcomes; Nphies-linked data	Indicator completeness; PROM collection rate; dashboard use

Note. The PRISM framework is an original conceptual model proposed in this paper and is intended for adaptation and empirical validation in local institutional settings. PROM = patient-reported outcome measure.

The five pillars operate as a cycle. People-centered leadership creates the culture and capability required for change. Resource optimization ensures that staff have what they need without waste. Integration and process redesign translate resources into coordinated patient journeys. Standards and safety guarantee reliability. Measurement and digital intelligence provide feedback that allows leaders to learn, adjust priorities, and sustain improvement. Mapped to Donabedian's model, the first two pillars strengthen structure, the third and fourth improve process, and the fifth links structure and process to outcomes.

10. IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Implementing management strategies in healthcare is complex. Innovations spread through organizations unevenly and depend on the characteristics of the innovation, the adopters, the organizational context, and the wider system (Greenhalgh et al., 2004). The following table summarizes common barriers and strategies to overcome them.

Table 5. Implementation Challenges and Recommended Strategies

Challenge	Recommended Strategy	Responsible Stakeholders
Limited management capability	Structured leadership and management development programs; certification in quality and health administration	Health clusters; SCFHS; academic institutions
Resistance to change	Engage clinicians early; use local champions; communicate a clear case for change	Executive leadership; department heads
Fragmented data systems	Invest in interoperable EHRs and integrated dashboards linked to national platforms	Health informatics; digital health authorities
Workforce shortages and burnout	Workforce planning, retention incentives, and wellbeing programs aligned with the Quadruple Aim	Human resources; nursing and medical leadership
Short-term focus on cost	Adopt value-based metrics balancing outcomes and costs	Finance departments; payers
Sustaining improvements	Embed improvements in standards, audit cycles, and accreditation processes	Quality departments; CBAHI coordinators

Based on this review, the following recommendations are proposed for healthcare leaders and policymakers in Saudi Arabia:

- ◆ Develop a national healthcare management competency framework and accredited training pathways for current and future managers.
- ◆ Institutionalize continuous quality improvement methodologies, including Lean and PDSA, within every health cluster, supported by dedicated improvement teams.
- ◆ Adopt balanced performance frameworks that combine clinical outcomes, patient experience, staff wellbeing, and financial sustainability.
- ◆ Accelerate interoperable digital infrastructure and analytics capability, leveraging Nphies and national electronic health records for performance measurement.
- ◆ Protect safe staffing levels and invest in workforce wellbeing as strategic priorities rather than discretionary costs.
- ◆ Expand value-based financing models gradually, with robust outcome measurement and safeguards against under-provision.
- ◆ Promote research on management effectiveness in the Saudi context to build local evidence for policy decisions.

11. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Several trends will shape healthcare management in the coming decade. Artificial intelligence and predictive analytics will increasingly support capacity planning, risk stratification, and early warning of deterioration, requiring managers to develop data literacy and ethical governance capabilities (Topol, 2019). Virtual care and remote monitoring will shift services from hospitals toward homes and communities, demanding new models of coordination and payment. Population health management will require organizations to take responsibility for outcomes across defined populations rather than individual episodes of care. Sustainability and environmental stewardship will become part of efficiency, as health systems seek to reduce their carbon footprint. Finally, the growing emphasis on patient-reported outcomes will redefine how quality is measured and valued. Research priorities include rigorous evaluation of management interventions, longitudinal studies of health cluster performance in Saudi Arabia, and the cost-effectiveness of digital transformation initiatives.

12. CONCLUSION

This paper has shown that healthcare management strategies exert a substantial and measurable influence on the quality and efficiency of healthcare services. Strategic and performance management align organizations toward shared goals; leadership

and culture shape safety and engagement; human resource management determines whether staff can deliver safe, effective care; quality improvement methodologies reduce variation and waste; financial management sustains investment in priorities; digital transformation enables measurement and coordination; and patient safety and patient-centered approaches protect and empower patients.

Crucially, quality and efficiency are not inherently opposed. When management focuses on removing waste, preventing harm, and organizing care around patient value, both improve together. The PRISM framework offers an integrated approach for healthcare organizations to achieve this balance. For Saudi Arabia, the success of the Vision 2030 health transformation will depend not only on new facilities and technologies, but on the managerial capability to lead people, optimize resources, integrate care, uphold standards, and measure what matters.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aiken, L. H., Clarke, S. P., Sloane, D. M., Sochalski, J., & Silber, J. H. (2002). Hospital nurse staffing and patient mortality, nurse burnout, and job dissatisfaction. *JAMA*, 288(16), 1987–1993. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.288.16.1987>
- [2] Al-Hanawi, M. K., Khan, S. A., & Al-Borie, H. M. (2019). Healthcare human resource development in Saudi Arabia: Emerging challenges and opportunities—a critical review. *Public Health Reviews*, 40, 1. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40985-019-0112-4>
- [3] Bates, D. W., & Singh, H. (2018). Two decades since To Err Is Human: An assessment of progress and emerging priorities in patient safety. *Health Affairs*, 37(11), 1736–1743. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2018.0738>
- [4] Berwick, D. M., Nolan, T. W., & Whittington, J. (2008). The triple aim: Care, health, and cost. *Health Affairs*, 27(3), 759–769. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.27.3.759>
- [5] Bloom, N., Propper, C., Seiler, S., & Van Reenen, J. (2015). The impact of competition on management quality: Evidence from public hospitals. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 82(2), 457–489. <https://doi.org/10.1093/restud/rdv045>
- [6] Bodenheimer, T., & Sinsky, C. (2014). From triple to quadruple aim: Care of the patient requires care of the provider. *Annals of Family Medicine*, 12(6), 573–576. <https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.1713>
- [7] Braithwaite, J., Herkes, J., Ludlow, K., Testa, L., & Lamprell, G. (2017). Association between organisational and workplace cultures, and patient outcomes: Systematic review. *BMJ Open*, 7(11), e017708. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-017708>
- [8] Buntin, M. B., Burke, M. F., Hoaglin, M. C., & Blumenthal, D. (2011). The benefits of health information technology: A review of the recent literature shows predominantly positive results. *Health Affairs*, 30(3), 464–471. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2011.0178>
- [9] D’Andreanmatteo, A., Ianni, L., Lega, F., & Sargiacomo, M. (2015). Lean in healthcare: A comprehensive review. *Health Policy*, 119(9), 1197–1209. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2015.02.002>
- [10] Deming, W. E. (1986). *Out of the crisis*. MIT Press.
- [11] Donabedian, A. (1988). The quality of care: How can it be assessed? *JAMA*, 260(12), 1743–1748. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1988.03410120089033>
- [12] Greenhalgh, T., Robert, G., Macfarlane, F., Bate, P., & Kyriakidou, O. (2004). Diffusion of innovations in service organizations: Systematic review and recommendations. *The Milbank Quarterly*, 82(4), 581–629. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0887-378X.2004.00325.x>
- [13] Institute of Medicine. (2001). *Crossing the quality chasm: A new health system for the 21st century*. National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/10027>
- [14] Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (1992). The balanced scorecard—Measures that drive performance. *Harvard Business Review*, 70(1), 71–79.
- [15] Kohn, L. T., Corrigan, J. M., & Donaldson, M. S. (Eds.). (2000). *To err is human: Building a safer health system*. National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/9728>

- [16] Kotter, J. P. (1996). *Leading change*. Harvard Business School Press.
- [17] Kruk, M. E., Gage, A. D., Arsenault, C., Jordan, K., Leslie, H. H., Roder-DeWan, S., Adeyi, O., Barker, P., Daelmans, B., Doubova, S. V., English, M., García-Elorrio, E., Guanais, F., Gureje, O., Hirschhorn, L. R., Jiang, L., Kelley, E., Lemango, E. T., Liljestrand, J., ... Pate, M. (2018). High-quality health systems in the Sustainable Development Goals era: Time for a revolution. *The Lancet Global Health*, 6(11), e1196–e1252. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(18\)30386-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30386-3)
- [18] Langley, G. J., Moen, R. D., Nolan, K. M., Nolan, T. W., Norman, C. L., & Provost, L. P. (2009). *The improvement guide: A practical approach to enhancing organizational performance* (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- [19] Porter, M. E. (2010). What is value in health care? *New England Journal of Medicine*, 363(26), 2477–2481. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1011024>
- [20] Porter, M. E., & Lee, T. H. (2013). The strategy that will fix health care. *Harvard Business Review*, 91(10), 50–70.
- [21] Rahman, R., & Qattan, A. (2021). Vision 2030 and sustainable development: State capacity to revitalize the healthcare system in Saudi Arabia. *INQUIRY: The Journal of Health Care Organization, Provision, and Financing*, 58, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0046958020984682>
- [22] Reason, J. (2000). Human error: Models and management. *BMJ*, 320(7237), 768–770. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.320.7237.768>
- [23] Saudi Central Board for Accreditation of Healthcare Institutions. (n.d.). National hospital standards. CBAHI.
- [24] Saudi Vision 2030. (2021). *Health Sector Transformation Program: Delivery plan*. Government of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
- [25] Shanafelt, T. D., & Noseworthy, J. H. (2017). Executive leadership and physician well-being: Nine organizational strategies to promote engagement and reduce burnout. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, 92(1), 129–146. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2016.10.004>
- [26] Stefl, M. E. (2008). Common competencies for all healthcare managers: The Healthcare Leadership Alliance model. *Journal of Healthcare Management*, 53(6), 360–373.
- [27] Topol, E. J. (2019). High-performance medicine: The convergence of human and artificial intelligence. *Nature Medicine*, 25(1), 44–56. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7>
- [28] West, M., Dawson, J., Admasachew, L., & Topakas, A. (2011). NHS staff management and health service quality: Results from the NHS Staff Survey and related data. Department of Health.
- [29] Womack, J. P., & Jones, D. T. (1996). *Lean thinking: Banish waste and create wealth in your corporation*. Simon & Schuster.
- [30] World Health Organization, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, & The World Bank. (2018). *Delivering quality health services: A global imperative for universal health coverage*. World Health Organization.